Asymptotics of determinants of Hankel matrices via non-linear difference equations

Estelle L. Basor[a], Yang Chen[b], Nazmus S. Haq[c,*]

[a]American Institute of Mathematics, 360 Portage Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94306-2244, USA
[b] Faculty of Science and Technology, Department of Mathematics, University of Macau, Av. Padre Tomás Pereira, Taipa Macau, China
[c]Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, 180 Queen's Gate, London SW7 2BZ, UK

arXiv:1401.2073v2 [math.CA] 16 Jun 2015

Abstract

E. Heine in the 19th century studied a system of orthogonal polynomials associated with the weight $[x(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $x \in [0,\alpha]$, $0 < \alpha < \beta$. A related system was studied by C. J. Rees in 1945, associated with the weight $\left[(1-x^2)(1-k^2x^2)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $x \in [-1,1]$, $k^2 \in (0,1)$. These are also known as elliptic orthogonal polynomials, since the moments of the weights maybe expressed in terms of elliptic integrals. Such orthogonal polynomials are of great interest because the corresponding Hankel determinant, depending on a parameter k^2, where $0 < k^2 < 1$ is the τ function of a particular Painlevé VI, the special cases of which are related to enumerative problems arising from string theory. We show that the recurrence coefficients, denoted by $\beta_n(k^2)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$; and $\mathsf{p}_1(n, k^2)$, the coefficients of x^{n-2} of the monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to a generalized version of the weight studied by Rees,

$$(1-x^2)^{\alpha}(1-k^2x^2)^{\beta}, \quad x \in [-1,1],\ \alpha > -1,\ \beta \in \mathbb{R},$$

satisfy second order non-linear difference equations. The large n expansion based on the difference equations when combined with known asymptotics of the leading terms of the associated Hankel determinant yields a complete asymptotic expansion of the Hankel determinant. The Painlevé equation is also discussed as well as the generalization of the linear second order differential equation found by Rees.

Keywords: Hankel determinants, elliptic orthogonal polynomials, asymptotic expansions, non-linear difference equations, Painlevé equations, Random Matrix theory

2010 MSC: 15B52, 33C47, 34E05, 42C05, 47B35

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: ebasor@aimath.org (Estelle L. Basor), yayangchen@umac.mo (Yang Chen), nazmus.haq04@imperial.ac.uk (Nazmus S. Haq)

1. Introduction

The study of Hankel determinants has seen a flurry of activity in recent years in part due to connections with Random Matrix theory (RMT). This is because Hankel determinants compute the most fundamental objects studied in RMT. For example, the determinants may represent the partition function for a particular random matrix ensemble, or they might be related to the distribution of the largest eigenvalue or they may represent the generating function for a random variable associated to the ensemble.

Another recent interesting application of Hankel determinants is to compute certain Hilbert series that are used to count the number of gauge invariant quantities on moduli spaces and to characterize moduli spaces of a wide range of supersymmetric gauge theories. Many aspects of supersymmetric gauge theories can be analyzed exactly, providing a "laboratory" for the dynamic of gauge theories. For additional information about this topic, see [6, 14]. In these papers, heavy use are made of the mathematics involving two important types of matrices: Toeplitz and Hankel.

Often there is an associated Painlevé equation that is satisfied by the logarithm of the Hankel determinant with respect to some parameter. This is true, for example, in the Gaussian Unitary ensemble and for many other classical cases [32]. In a recent development, one finds that Painlevé equations also appear in the information theoretic aspect of wireless communication systems [16]. Once the Painlevé equation is found, then the Hankel determinant is much better understood. Asymptotics can be found via the Painlevé equation, scalings can be made to find limiting densities, and in general the universal nature of the distributions can be analyzed. Other methods, including Riemann-Hilbert techniques and general Fredholm operator theory methods, have also been used very successfully to find these asymptotics along with the Painlevé equation analysis.

In this paper, where our approach is different, our focus is on the modified Jacobi weight,

$$(1-x^2)^{\alpha}(1-k^2x^2)^{\beta}, \quad x \in [-1,1],\ \alpha > -1,\ \beta \in \mathbb{R},\ k^2 \in (0,1).$$

We find asymptotics for the determinant, but our main technique is to compute these asymptotics from difference equations and then combine the information obtained from the difference equation with known asymptotics for the leading order terms. This is done by finding equations for auxiliary quantities defined by the corresponding orthogonal polynomials. The main idea is to use the very useful and practical ladder operator approach developed in [9] and [11]. Recent applications of Riemann-Hilbert techniques on the asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials and Hankel determinants associated with similar modified Jacobi weights can be found in [33, 34].

1.1. Heine and Rees

In the 19th century, Heine [22], considered polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight,

$$w_{\rm H}(x) \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{x(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)}}, \qquad x\in[0,\alpha],\quad 0<\alpha<\beta, \tag{1.1}$$

and derived a second order ode satisfied by them [22, p. 295]. This ode is a generalization of the hypergeometric equation, but of course not in the conventional "eigenvalue-eigenfunction" form.

Rees [29], in 1945, studied a similar problem, with weight,

$$w_{\rm R}(x) \;:=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-x^2)(1-k^2x^2)}}, \qquad x\in[-1,1],\quad k^2\in(0,1), \tag{1.2}$$

and used a method due to Shohat [30], essentially a variation of that employed by Heine, to derive a second order ode. The ode obtained by Heine [22, p. 295] reads,

$$\begin{aligned} 2x(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)(x-\gamma)P_n''(x)+\left[(x-\gamma)\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{[w_{\rm H}(x)]^2}\right)-\frac{2}{[w_{\rm H}(x)]^2}\right]P_n'(x) \\ +\Big[a+bx-n(2n-1)x^2\Big]P_n(x) \;=\; 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

There are 3 parameters, a, b and γ in Heine's differential equation (1.3). Furthermore, a and b are expressed in terms of γ as roots of 2 algebraic equations, however γ is not characterized. Therefore Heine's ode is to be regarded as an existence proof, and appeared not to be suitable for the further study of such orthogonal polynomials.

For polynomials associated with $w_{\rm R}(x)$, Rees [29, eq. 48] derived the following second order ode:

$$\frac{M_n(x)}{w_{\rm R}(x)^2}P_n''(x)+\left[\frac{M_n(x)}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{w_{\rm R}(x)^2}\right)-\frac{M_n'(x)}{w_{\rm R}(x)^2}\right]P_n'(x)+\Big[L_n(x)M_n'(x)+M_n(x)U_n(x)\Big]P_n(x) \;=\; 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where[1]

$$M_n(x) \;=\; -(2n-1)k^2x^2-(2n+1)k^2(\beta_n+\beta_{n+1})+2n(1+k^2)-4k^2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\beta_j, \tag{1.5}$$

$$L_n(x) \;=\; nk^2x^3+\left[(2n-1)k^2\beta_n-n(1+k^2)+2k^2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\beta_j\right]x, \tag{1.6}$$

$$U_n(x) \;=\; -n(n+1)k^2x^2-2(2n-1)k^2\sum_{j=1}^{n}\beta_j+n^2(1+k^2). \tag{1.7}$$

Rees found a difference equation [29, eq. 55] satisfied by β_n, and $\sum_j \beta_j$ and so, by specifying β_0, β_1 and β_2, it would be possible, at least in principle, to determine all β_n iteratively.

[1] We identify β_n and $U_n(x)$ with Rees' λ_{n+1} and $D_n(x)$ respectively.

In this paper, we study a generalization of Rees' problem. Our polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the following weight:

$$w(x,k^2) = (1-x^2)^{\alpha}(1-k^2x^2)^{\beta}, \qquad x\in[-1,1],\ \ \alpha>-1,\ \ \beta\in\mathbb{R},\ \ k^2\in(0,1). \tag{1.8}$$

This weight may be regarded as a deformation of the Jacobi weight $w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)$, where

$$w^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \ \ = \ \ (1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}, \qquad x\in[-1,1],\ \ \alpha>-1,\ \ \beta>-1, \tag{1.9}$$

with the "extra" multiplicative factor $(1-k^2x^2)^{\beta}$.

If $\alpha=-\frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta=-\frac{1}{2}$, then (1.8) reduces to Rees' weight function (1.2).

Instead of following the method employed by Heine and by Rees, we use the theory of ladder operators for orthogonal polynomials [5, 11, 13, 26, 32] and the associated supplementary conditions (S_1), (S_2) and (S_2') (described later) to find a set of difference equations. The Hankel determinant, generated by (1.8), is defined as follows:

$$D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)] = \det\left(\mu_{i+j}(k^2)\right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1}, \tag{1.10}$$

where the moments are

$$\mu_j(k^2) := \int_{-1}^{1} x^j w(x,k^2)\,dx, \qquad j=0,1,2,\ldots. \tag{1.11}$$

We state here for future reference facts on orthogonal polynomials and Hankel determinants. These can be found in Szegö's treatise [31].

For a given weight, say, $w(x)$, an even function, supported on $[-A,A]$, which has infinitely many moments

$$\mu_j[w] := \int_{-A}^{A} x^j w(x)\,dx, \qquad j=0,1,2,\ldots, \tag{1.12}$$

it is a classical result that the Hankel determinant, $D_n[w] := \det(\mu_{j+k})_{j,k=0}^{n-1}$, admits the following multiple integral representation:

$$D_n[w] = \frac{1}{n!}\int_{[-A,A]^n}\prod_{1\le j<k\le n}(x_k-x_j)^2\prod_{\ell=1}^{n}w(x_\ell)\,dx_\ell.$$

The monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to w over $[-A,A]$

$$P_n(x) = x^n + \mathrm{p}_1(n)x^{n-2} + \cdots + P_n(0), \tag{1.13}$$

satisfies the orthogonality relations

$$\int_{-A}^{A} w(x)P_j(x)P_k(x)\,dx = h_j\,\delta_{j,k}, \qquad j,k = 0,1,2,\ldots, \tag{1.14}$$

where h_j is the square of the L^2 norm over $[-A, A]$.

D_n admits a further representation

$$D_n[w] = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} h_j. \tag{1.15}$$

From the orthogonality relations, there follows the three-term recurrence relation

$$xP_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n\,P_{n-1}(x), \qquad n = 0,1,2,\ldots, \tag{1.16}$$

subjected to the initial conditions

$$P_0(x) := 1, \qquad \beta_0 := 0, \qquad P_{-1}(x) := 0.$$

Here

$$\beta_n = \frac{h_n}{h_{n-1}}. \tag{1.17}$$

From (1.16) and (1.13), an easy computation gives

$$\mathsf{p}_1(n) - \mathsf{p}_1(n+1) \;=\; \beta_n, \tag{1.18}$$

where $\mathsf{p}_1(0) = \mathsf{p}_1(1) := 0$, and consequently,

$$\mathsf{p}_1(n) = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \beta_j. \tag{1.19}$$

The recurrence coefficient β_n, in terms of D_n, reads,

$$\beta_n = \frac{D_{n+1}D_{n-1}}{D_n^2}. \tag{1.20}$$

For the sake to expedite the discussion in this paper, we have presented facts on orthogonal polynomials with even weight functions.

The main task of this paper is the computation of the large n expansion for D_n, through two non-linear difference equations in n, one second and the other third order, satisfied by β_n. We also find a second-order non-linear difference equation satisfied by $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$. These are derived through a systematic application

of equations (S_1), (S_2) and (S_2') (to be presented later). The hard-to-come-by constants independent of n in the leading term of the expansion and other relevant terms, we shall obtain towards the end of this paper. They can actually be computed via three different methods, one from the equivalence of our Hankel determinant to the determinant of a Toeplitz+Hankel matrix, generated by a particular singular weight, together with large n asymptotics of the latter; and by two other more direct methods. A systematic large n expansion for D_n is then obtained by "integrating" (1.20).

It should be pointed out that the form of the asymptotic expansion for the Hankel determinant as well as for the coefficients in the monic orthogonal polynomials was given in [25]. Thus for our situation, this guarantees that the expansion we give in Section 6 of this paper hold for β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$. Our expansion for D_n holds independently of [25] since we rely on converting the determinant to one involving Toeplitz plus Hankel determinants where the results are known. Here we also have an explicitly determined constant.

We also find the analogue of the second order linear equation satisfied by the orthogonal polynomials found by Rees and show that the logarithmic derivative of our Hankel determinant is related to the σ-form of a particular Painlevé VI differential equation. Similar results can be found in a straight-forward way for the Heine weight using the same technique, but we are not including them here.

Here is an outline of the rest of the paper. In the next section we give a summary of results. In Section 2, the ladder operator approach is used to find equations in the auxiliary variables. This leads directly to Sections 3 and 4 where the proofs of the difference equations are given and also the analogue of the derivation of the second-order ode. For the reader interested only in the results, these two sections can be omitted.

Section 5 is devoted to some special cases of the weight which reduce to the classical weight and this section serves as a verification of the method. The heart of the computation for the Hankel determinant is Section 6, where the difference equation satisfied by β_n is used to compute the correction terms of the large n expansion and then this is tied to Section 7, where the leading order terms are computed from known results. We provide an alternative computation for the Hankel determinant in Section 8, where we combine the large n expansion of $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ (also obtained in Section 6) with 'time-evolution' equations satisfied by the Hankel determinant, and integrate. The final section describes the Painlevé equation.

1.2. Summary of results

For polynomials orthogonal with respect to (1.2), Rees derived in [29, eq. 55] the following difference equation involving $\beta_{n+1}, \beta_n, \beta_{n-1}, \beta_{n-2}$, $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and $\mathsf{p}_1(n-2)$:

$$\beta_{n-1} C_{n-2}^{\text{Rees}} = \beta_n C_n^{\text{Rees}} + 1, \tag{1.21}$$

where[2]

$$C_n^{\text{Rees}} \;:=\; (2n+1)\,k^2(\beta_n+\beta_{n+1}) - 2n(k^2+1) - 4k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n). \tag{1.22}$$

For the weight (1.8), we derive through the use of the ladder operators and the associated supplementary conditions, a quadratic equation in $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ with coefficients in $\beta_{n+1}, \beta_n, \beta_{n-1}$. See the theorem below:

Theorem 1.1. *The recurrence coefficient β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ satisfies the following difference equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;=\; & k^2[p_1(n)]^2 + \left[2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n - \alpha k^2 - \beta\right]p_1(n) - k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n^2 \\ & -\left[k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_{n+1} - \left\{\Big(\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)k^2 + \Big(\alpha+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\right\}\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\right. \\ & \left. + k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big)\beta_{n-1}\right]\beta_n - \frac{n}{2}\Big(\frac{n}{2}+\alpha+\beta\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

Solving for $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and noting the fact that $\mathsf{p}_1(n) - \mathsf{p}_1(n+1) = \beta_n$, a third order difference equation for β_n is found. This is stated in the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *β_n satisfies the following third-order difference equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\beta_{n+1}-\beta_n)^2\left\{4k^4\beta_n\left[\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_{n+1} + \Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big)\beta_{n-1}\right]\right. \\ & \quad \left. + 4k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n\Big(2k^2\beta_n - k^2 - 1\Big) + (\alpha k^2+\beta)^2 + k^2 n(n+2\alpha+2\beta)\right\} = \\ & \quad \left\{\beta_{n+1}\left[k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{5}{2}\Big)(\beta_{n+2}+\beta_{n+1}) - (k^2+1)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)\right]\right. \\ & \left. -\beta_n\left[k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)(\beta_n+\beta_{n-1}) - (k^2+1)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\right] + \frac{1}{2} + 2k^2\beta_n\left[\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_n) + \beta_n + \beta_{n-1}\right]\right\}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

The above difference equation can also be regarded as a quartic equation in β_n and β_{n+1}, and quadratic in β_{n-1} and β_{n+2}.

This highlights the advantage of our approach. If we were to eliminate $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ in (1.21), we would find β_n satisfies a fourth order difference equation.

Eliminating only the $[\mathsf{p}_1(n)]^2$ term in (1.23) and through the use of certain identities, we obtain a generalization of (1.21), valid for $\alpha > -1, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

[2] In Rees' paper [29], C_n^{Rees} is identified with H_n.

Theorem 1.3. *For $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\beta_{n-1}C_{n-2} \;=\; \beta_n C_n + 1, \tag{1.25}$$

where

$$C_n \;:=\; 2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)k^2(\beta_n+\beta_{n+1}) - 2\left[\left(\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2 + \left(\alpha+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] - 4k^2 p_1(n). \tag{1.26}$$

The equation (1.25) reduces to Rees' equation (1.21), if $\alpha = \beta = -1/2$.

We present here a second order difference equation satisfied by β_n, and later give a proof independent of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.4. *The recurrence coefficient β_n satisfies a second order difference equation, which turns out to be an algebraic equation of total degree* 6 *in β_{n+1}, β_n and β_{n-1}:*

$$\sum_{p=0}^{3}\sum_{q=0}^{6}\sum_{r=0}^{3} c_{p,q,r}\,\beta_{n+1}^{p}\beta_n^{q}\beta_{n-1}^{r} \;=\; 0, \qquad\qquad p+q+r \le 6. \tag{1.27}$$

The complete list of the 34 non-zero *coefficients $c_{p,q,r}$ are presented in Appendix A.*

Using methods similar to the proof of Theorem 1.4, we obtain a *second order* difference equation satisfied by $p_1(n)$, presented below:

Theorem 1.5. *The coefficient of the sub-leading term of $P_n(x)$, $p_1(n)$, satisfies the following second order non-linear difference equation:*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \;=\;& k^4\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\left[p_1(n+1)^2\big(p_1(n)-p_1(n-1)\big) - p_1(n-1)^2\big(p_1(n)-p_1(n+1)\big)\right]\\
&+\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\left[k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)p_1(n-1) - k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)p_1(n+1) - \left(\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2 - \alpha - n + \frac{1}{2}\right]k^2 p_1(n)^2\\
&+\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)k^4 p_1(n+1)p_1(n)p_1(n-1)\\
&+k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left[\left(\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2 + \alpha + n - \frac{1}{2}\right]\big(p_1(n+1)p_1(n) + p_1(n)p_1(n-1) - p_1(n+1)p_1(n-1)\big)\\
&+(\alpha k^2+\beta)\left[\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)p_1(n+1) - \left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)p_1(n-1)\right]k^2 p_1(n)\\
&+\frac{(n-1)}{2}\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2 p_1(n+1) - \frac{n}{2}\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+\frac{n}{2}\right)k^2 p_1(n-1)\\
&+\left[\alpha\left(\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)k^4 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha-\beta-n+\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2 + \beta\left(\alpha+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right]p_1(n) + \frac{1}{4}n(n-1)(\alpha k^2+\beta).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.28}$$

It is clear that, $p_1(n)$, will depend on k^2; but for brevity, we do not display this dependence, unless required.

Theorem 1.6. *The orthogonal polynomials $P_n(x)$ with respect to the weight (1.8) satisfy*

$$P_n''(x) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{X'(x)}{X(x)} - \frac{M_n'(x)}{M_n(x)}\right)P_n'(x) + \left(\frac{L_n(x)M_n'(x)}{Y(x)M_n(x)} + \frac{U_n(x)}{Y(x)}\right)P_n(x) = 0, \tag{1.29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
X(x) &:= (1-x^2)^{2\alpha+2}(1-k^2x^2)^{2\beta+2}, && (1.30)\\
Y(x) &:= (1-x^2)(1-k^2x^2), && (1.31)\\
M_n(x) &:= -2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2x^2 - C_n, && (1.32)\\
L_n(x) &:= x\Big[nk^2x^2 - n(1+k^2) + 2k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n - 2k^2p_1(n)\Big], && (1.33)\\
U_n(x) &:= -k^2x^2n(n+2\alpha+2\beta+3) + 2k^2(2n+2\alpha+2\beta+1)(p_1(n)-\beta_n) + nk^2(n+2\beta+1)\\
&\quad +n(n+2\alpha+1), && (1.34)
\end{aligned}$$

and C_n is given by (1.26).

With the choice of $\alpha = -1/2, \beta = -1/2$ this reduces to the original equation of Rees.

The latter sections of this paper are then devoted to obtaining the following result, which is calculated using two alternative methods in Sections 7 and 8 respectively.

Theorem 1.7. *The Hankel determinant $D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]$ has the following asymptotic expansion in n:*

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)] &= E\, n^{\alpha^2-1/4}\, 2^{-n(n+2\alpha)}\, (2\pi)^n \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-k^2}}{2}\right)^{2\beta n}\\
&\quad \times \exp\left[\frac{2a_3}{n} + \frac{2a_4 - a_2\big(4a_2+1\big)}{3n^2} + \frac{a_5 - a_3\big(4a_2+1\big)}{3n^3} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{1.35}$$

where the n-independent constant E is given by

$$E := \frac{(2\pi)^\alpha \pi^{1/2}[G(1/2)]^2}{2^{2(\alpha^2+\alpha\beta+\beta^2)}[G(1+\alpha)]^2} \cdot \frac{\left(1+\sqrt{1-k^2}\right)^{2\beta(\alpha+\beta)}}{(1-k^2)^{\beta(\alpha+\beta/2)}}. \tag{1.36}$$

In the above, the function G refers to the Barnes G-function, an entire function that satisfies the recurrence relation $G(z+1) = \Gamma(z)G(z)$, $G(1) := 1$; and the coefficients $a_2(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$—$a_5(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$ are the large n expansion coefficients for β_n, which can be found in Section 6.1.

Finally we present the desired Painlevé equation for the logarithmic derivative of the Hankel determinant with respect to k^2. This equation is not new, but follows from a change of variables and the equation found in [19].

Theorem 1.8. *Let $H_{2n}(k^2)$ and $H_{2n+1}(k^2)$ be defined via the Hankel determinant associated with $w(x,k^2)$, as*

$$H_{2n}(k^2) := k^2(k^2-1)\frac{d}{dk^2}\log D_{2n}[w(\cdot,k^2)], \tag{1.37}$$

$$H_{2n+1}(k^2) := k^2(k^2-1)\frac{d}{dk^2}\log D_{2n+1}[w(\cdot,k^2)]. \tag{1.38}$$

The functions $H_{2n}(k^2)$ and $H_{2n+1}(k^2)$ are then expressed in terms of the σ-function of a Painlevé VI as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2n}(k^2) &= \sigma(k^2,n,-1/2,\alpha,\beta)+\sigma(k^2,n,1/2,\alpha,\beta) \\ &\quad +\Big(\frac{\beta^2}{2}+2n\beta+\frac{1}{8}\Big)k^2-\frac{\beta}{2}(2n+\alpha+\beta)+n(n+\alpha), \end{aligned} \tag{1.39}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2n+1}(k^2) &= \sigma(k^2,n+1,-1/2,\alpha,\beta)+\sigma(k^2,n,1/2,\alpha,\beta) \\ &\quad +\Big(\frac{\beta^2}{2}+(2n+1)\beta+\frac{1}{8}\Big)k^2-\frac{\beta}{2}(2n+1+\alpha+\beta)+\frac{1}{4}(2n+1)(2n+1+2\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{1.40}$$

Here the function $\sigma(k^2,n,a,b,c)$, as shown in [19], satisfies the Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ-form of a particular Painlevé VI [23]:

$$\sigma'\Big(k^2(k^2-1)\sigma''\Big)^2+\Big\{2\sigma'(k^2\sigma'-\sigma)-(\sigma')^2-\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\Big\}^2=\prod_{i=1}^{4}(\nu_i^2+\sigma'), \tag{1.41}$$

where $'$ denotes derivative with respect to k^2 and

$$\nu_1=\frac{1}{2}(c-a),\quad \nu_2=\frac{1}{2}(c+a),\quad \nu_3=\frac{1}{2}(2n+a+c),\quad \nu_4=\frac{1}{2}(2n+a+2b+c). \tag{1.42}$$

Remark. The moments $\mu_j(k^2)$, $j=0,1,2,\ldots$, of the weight (1.8) can be expressed in terms of hypergeometric functions as

$$\mu_{2j}(\alpha,\beta,k^2) = \frac{\Gamma(j+1/2)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(j+\alpha+3/2)}\,{}_2F_1\Big(-\beta,j+\frac{1}{2};j+\alpha+\frac{3}{2};k^2\Big), \tag{1.43}$$

$$\mu_{2j+1}(\alpha,\beta,k^2) = 0, \tag{1.44}$$

where the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a,b;c,z)$ has the following integral representation [1, p. 558]

$${}_2F_1(a,b;c,z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-tz)^{-a}\,dt, \qquad \Re(c)>\Re(b)>0.$$

1.3. Ladder operators and supplementary conditions

In the theory of Hermitian random matrices, orthogonal polynomials play an important role, since the fundamental object, namely, Hankel determinants or partition functions, are expressed in terms of the

associated square of the L^2 norm, $D_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} h_j$. Moreover, as we have seen in the introduction, Hankel determinants are intimately related to the recurrence coefficient β_n of the orthogonal polynomials (for other recent examples, see [4, 5, 17, 21]).

There is a recursive algorithm that facilitates the determination of the recurrence coefficient β_n. This is implemented through the use of so-called "ladder operators" as well as their associated supplementary conditions. This approach can be traced back to Laguerre and Shohat [30]. More recently, Magnus [26] applied this approach to semi-classical orthogonal polynomials and the derivation of Painlevé equations, while [32] used the compatibility conditions in the study of finite n matrix models. See [5, 11, 13] for other examples.

With the potential, v, defined by

$$\mathsf{v}(x) = -\log w(x), \tag{1.45}$$

a pair of ladder operators—formulae that allow one to raise or lower the index n of the orthogonal polynomial—may be derived [9, 11]:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{d}{dx} + B_n(x)\right] P_n(x) &= \beta_n A_n(x) P_{n-1}(x), \\ \left[\frac{d}{dx} - B_n(x) - \mathsf{v}'(x)\right] P_{n-1}(x) &= -A_{n-1}(x) P_n(x), \end{aligned} \tag{1.46}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(x) &= \frac{1}{h_n} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\mathsf{v}'(x) - \mathsf{v}'(y)}{x-y} P_n^2(y) w(y)\, dy, \\ B_n(x) &= \frac{1}{h_{n-1}} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\mathsf{v}'(x) - \mathsf{v}'(y)}{x-y} P_n(y) P_{n-1}(y) w(y)\, dy. \end{aligned} \tag{1.47}$$

The fundamental compatibility conditions for $A_n(x)$ and $B_n(x)$ are

$$B_{n+1}(x) + B_n(x) = x A_n(x) - \mathsf{v}'(x), \tag{S_1}$$

$$1 + x[B_{n+1}(x) - B_n(x)] = \beta_{n+1} A_{n+1}(x) - \beta_n A_{n-1}(x). \tag{S_2}$$

See [9, 11] for a derivation. These were initially derived for any polynomial $\mathsf{v}(x)$ (see [7, 8, 27]), and then were shown to hold for all $x \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ in greater generality [11].

We now combine (S_1) and (S_2) as follows. First, multiply (S_2) by $A_n(x)$, then it is seen that the right side is a first order difference, while $xA_n(x)$ on the left side is replaced by $B_{n+1}(x) + B_n(x) + \mathsf{v}'(x)$ taking into account (S_1). Now, taking a telescopic sum with initial conditions

$$B_0(x) = A_{-1}(x) := 0,$$

leads to the important identity

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j(x) \;+\; B_n^{\,2}(x) + \mathsf{v}'(x)B_n(x) = \beta_n A_n(x)A_{n-1}(x). \tag{S_2'}$$

The condition (S_2') is of considerable interest, since it is intimately related to the logarithm of the Hankel determinant. In order to gain further insight into the determinant, we need to find a way to reduce the sum to a fixed number of quantities, known as the auxiliary variables (to be introduced in the next section). The equation (S_2') ultimately paves a way going forward.

2. Computation of auxiliary variables

Starting with our weight function (1.8),

$$w(x,k^2) \;=\; (1-x^2)^{\alpha}(1-k^2x^2)^{\beta}, \qquad x\in[-1,1],\;\; \alpha>-1,\;\; \beta\in\mathbb{R},\;\; k^2\in(0,1), \tag{2.1}$$

we see that

$$\mathsf{v}(x) \;=\; -\log w(x,k^2) = -\alpha\log(1-x^2) - \beta\log(1-k^2x^2), \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\mathsf{v}'(x) \;=\; -\alpha\left(\frac{1}{x-1}+\frac{1}{x+1}\right) - \beta\left(\frac{1}{x-1/k}+\frac{1}{x+1/k}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Remark. Since $\mathsf{v}'(x)$ is a rational function of x,

$$\frac{\mathsf{v}'(x)-\mathsf{v}'(y)}{x-y} = \frac{\alpha}{x-1}\cdot\frac{1}{y-1} + \frac{\alpha}{x+1}\cdot\frac{1}{y+1} + \frac{\beta}{x-1/k}\cdot\frac{1}{y-1/k} + \frac{\beta}{x+1/k}\cdot\frac{1}{y+1/k} \tag{2.4}$$

is also a rational function of x, and y, which in turn implies that $A_n(x)$ and $B_n(x)$ are rational functions of x. Consequently, equating the residues of the simple and double pole at $x=\pm1$, and $x=\pm1/k$, and the limit at $x\to\infty$ on both sides of the supplementary conditions (S_1), (S_2) and (S_2'), we obtain non-linear difference equations in n satisfied by the auxiliary variables. These equations are likely very complicated, but the main idea is to express the recurrence coefficient β_n in terms of these auxiliary variables, and eventually take advantage of the product representation $D_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} h_j$ to obtain equations satisfied by the logarithmic derivative of the Hankel determinant.

Using $P_n(-y) = (-1)^n P_n(y)$, we may deduce that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{P_n^2(y)w(y)}{c-y}\,dy = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{P_n^2(y)w(y)}{c+y}\,dy, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)w(y)}{c-y}\,dy = -\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)w(y)}{c+y}\,dy, \tag{2.6}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed. From the above elementary relations, and (1.47), we find

$$A_n(x) = -\frac{R_n}{x-1} + \frac{R_n}{x+1} - \frac{R_n^*}{x-1/k} + \frac{R_n^*}{x+1/k}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$B_n(x) = \frac{r_n}{x-1} + \frac{r_n}{x+1} + \frac{r_n^*}{x-1/k} + \frac{r_n^*}{x+1/k}, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_n^*(k^2) &:= \frac{\beta}{h_n}\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{w(y)P_n^2(y)}{1/k+y}\,dy, & r_n^*(k^2) &:= \frac{\beta}{h_{n-1}}\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{w(y)P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)}{1/k+y}\,dy, \\ R_n(k^2) &:= \frac{\alpha}{h_n}\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{w(y)P_n^2(y)}{1+y}\,dy, & r_n(k^2) &:= \frac{\alpha}{h_{n-1}}\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{w(y)P_n(y)P_{n-1}(y)}{1+y}\,dy, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

are the 4 auxiliary variables.

Remark. Take $n = 0$. From (1.43) and the definitions of $R_0(k^2)$, $R_0^*(k^2)$, $r_0(k^2)$ and $r_0^*(k^2)$, it follows that

$$R_0(k^2) = \frac{\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right){}_2F_1\left(-\beta,\frac{1}{2};\alpha+\frac{1}{2};k^2\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(-\beta,\frac{1}{2};\alpha+\frac{3}{2};k^2\right)}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\frac{R_0^*(k^2)}{k} = \frac{\beta\,{}_2F_1\left(-\beta+1,\frac{1}{2};\alpha+\frac{3}{2};k^2\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(-\beta,\frac{1}{2};\alpha+\frac{3}{2};k^2\right)}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$r_0(k^2) = r_0^*(k^2) = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Thus, (S_1) and (S_2) determine the sequence $A_n(x)$ and $B_n(x)$ given initial conditions $B_0(x) = A_{-1}(x) = 0$ and the value of $A_0(x)$ from above.

2.1. Difference equations

Inserting $A_n(x)$ and $B_n(x)$ into (S_1), (S_2) and (S_2'), and equating the residues yields a system of 17 equations. However, there are multiple instances of the same equation, and thus we actually have a system of 9 distinct equations.

From (S_1), equating the residues at $x = \pm 1$ and $x = \pm 1/k$ give the following set of equations:

$$r_n + r_{n+1} = \alpha - R_n, \tag{2.13}$$

$$r_n^* + r_{n+1}^* = \beta - R_n^*/k. \tag{2.14}$$

Similarly, from (S_2), the limit at $x \to \infty$, and equating the residues at $x = \pm 1$ and $x = \pm 1/k$ give the following set of distinct equations:

$$1 + 2r_{n+1}^* - 2r_n^* + 2r_{n+1} - 2r_n = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

$$r_n - r_{n+1} = \beta_{n+1}R_{n+1} - \beta_n R_{n-1}, \tag{2.16}$$

$$(r_n^* - r_{n+1}^*)/k = \beta_{n+1}R_{n+1}^* - \beta_n R_{n-1}^*. \tag{2.17}$$

Going through the steps with (S_2') is more complicated, but equating all respective residues in (S_2') yields four equations. The first two are obtained by equating the residues of the double pole at $x = \pm 1$, and $x = \pm 1/k$ respectively:

$$r_n(r_n - \alpha) = \beta_n R_n R_{n-1}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$r_n^*(r_n^* - \beta) = \beta_n R_n^* R_{n-1}^*, \tag{2.19}$$

while the last two distinct equations are obtained by equating the residues of the simple pole at $x = \pm 1$ and $x = \pm 1/k$ respectively:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j - \frac{k^2}{k^2-1}\Big(2r_n^* r_n - \alpha r_n^* - \beta r_n\Big) = \beta_n R_n R_{n-1} - \frac{k\beta_n}{k^2-1}\Big(R_n R_{n-1}^* + R_n^* R_{n-1}\Big), \tag{2.20}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j^* + \frac{k}{k^2-1}\Big(2r_n^* r_n - \alpha r_n^* - \beta r_n\Big) = k\beta_n R_n^* R_{n-1}^* + \frac{k^2}{k^2-1}\beta_n\Big(R_n R_{n-1}^* + R_n^* R_{n-1}\Big). \tag{2.21}$$

Remark. Since (S_2') is obtained from (S_1) and (S_2), we can expect that (2.18)–(2.21) can be derived from (2.13)–(2.17) together with initial conditions. For example, (2.18) can be obtained by multiplying (2.13) and (2.16), and then taking a telescopic sum of the resultant equation from $j = 0$ to $j = n - 1$ along with initial conditions. However, this approach can be somewhat unsystematic, compared to the more direct approach of using (S_2').

2.2. Analysis of non-linear system

While the difference equations (2.13)–(2.21) look rather complicated, our aim is to manipulate these equations in such a way to give us insight into the recurrence coefficient β_n. Our dominant strategy is to always try to describe the recurrence coefficient β_n in terms of the auxiliary variables R_n, r_n, R_n^* and r_n^*.

Taking a telescopic sum of (2.15) from $j = 0$ to $j = n-1$ while noting the initial conditions $r_0 = 0$ and $r_0^* = 0$, we obtain,

$$r_n^* + r_n \;=\; -\frac{n}{2}. \tag{2.22}$$

Note that the above can also be obtained by integration by parts on the definition of r_n or r_n^*, (2.9).

From (S_1), the sum of (2.13) and (2.14), then gives

$$\frac{R_n^*}{k} + R_n \;=\; \alpha + \beta + n + \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.23}$$

Now summing the above from $j = 0$ to $j = n-1$, gives

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{R_j^*}{k} + R_j\right) = n\left(\alpha + \beta + \frac{n}{2}\right). \tag{2.24}$$

We now turn to (S_2). The sum of (2.16) and (2.17), and with (2.23) and (2.22) to eliminate R_n^* and r_n^* respectively, gives us,

$$(k^2-1)(r_n - r_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{2} \;=\; k^2\left(\alpha + \beta + n + \frac{3}{2}\right)\beta_{n+1} - k^2\left(\alpha + \beta + n - \frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n. \tag{2.25}$$

Taking a telescopic sum of (2.25) from $j = 0$ to $j = n-1$ and recalling $\mathsf{p}_1(n) = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\beta_j$ and $\beta_0 = 0$ yields

$$(k^2-1)r_n \;=\; \frac{n}{2} - k^2\left(\alpha + \beta + n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n + k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n). \tag{2.26}$$

We are now in a position to derive an important lemma, which expresses the recurrence coefficients β_n in terms of r_n and R_n.

Lemma 2.1. *The quantity β_n can be expressed in terms of the auxiliary variables r_n and R_n as*

$$\begin{aligned} k^2\beta_n \;=\; & \left\{\left[1 + k^2\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}}{R_n} - 1\right)\right]r_n^2 + \left[n + \beta - \alpha k^2\left(\frac{\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}}{R_n} - 1\right)\right]r_n + \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2} + \beta\right)\right\} \\ & \times \frac{1}{\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}-R_n\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Proof. Eliminating R_n^* and r_n^* from (2.19) using (2.23) and (2.22) respectively leads to

$$k^2\beta_n\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}-R_n\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}-R_{n-1}\right) \;=\; \left(r_n + \frac{n}{2}\right)\left(r_n + \frac{n}{2} + \beta\right). \tag{2.28}$$

The result then follows from eliminating R_{n-1} using (2.18). □

Finally, we give an important identity expressing the sum $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j$ in terms of β_n and r_n, presented below. First note the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2.

$$(k^2-1)r_n^2 - r_n(n+\beta+\alpha k^2) - \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}+\beta\right) = k^2\beta_n\Bigg[\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)R_{n-1} + \left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)R_n - \left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\Bigg]. \tag{2.29}$$

Proof. This is obtained from (2.28) and noting that $\beta_n R_n R_{n-1} = r_n(r_n-\alpha)$. □

Theorem 2.3. *The sum* $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j$ *may be expressed in terms of* β_n *and* r_n *as*

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j = -r_n(\alpha+\beta+n) + \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}+\beta+\alpha k^2\right)\frac{1}{k^2-1} - \frac{k^2}{k^2-1}\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n. \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. From equation (2.20), we first eliminate R_n^* and R_{n-1}^* in favor of R_n and R_{n-1} using (2.23), and eliminate r_n^* in favor of r_n using (2.22). With $\beta_n R_n R_{n-1} = r_n(r_n-\alpha)$, we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j = r_n^2 - r_n\left(\alpha + \frac{(\alpha+\beta+n)k^2}{k^2-1}\right) + \frac{n\alpha k^2}{2(k^2-1)} - \frac{k^2}{k^2-1}\beta_n\left[\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)R_{n-1} + \left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)R_n\right]. \tag{2.31}$$

Finally, we use equation (2.29), to eliminate

$$\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)R_{n-1} + \left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)R_n$$

from the above equation to arrive at our result. □

3. Non-linear difference equation for β_n

3.1. *Proof of Theorem 1.1*

We now prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Eliminating $\beta_n R_n R_{n-1}$ from equation (2.28) using (2.18), leads to the following:

$$k^2\beta_n\left[\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)R_{n-1} + \left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)R_n\right] = k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n + k^2 r_n(r_n-\alpha) - \left(r_n+\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(r_n+\frac{n}{2}+\beta\right). \tag{3.1}$$

Our aim is to replace R_n, R_{n-1} and r_n in (3.1) with β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$. We rearrange (2.26) to express r_n in terms of β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ as

$$r_n = \frac{1}{k^2-1}\left[\frac{n}{2} - k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n + k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right]. \tag{3.2}$$

Replacing n by $n-1$ and n by $n+1$, in (2.26), we find,

$$r_{n-1} = \frac{1}{k^2-1}\left[\frac{n-1}{2} - k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big)\beta_{n-1} + k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right], \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$r_{n+1} = \frac{1}{k^2-1}\left[\frac{n+1}{2} - k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)\beta_{n+1} + k^2(\mathsf{p}_1(n)-\beta_n)\right], \tag{3.4}$$

respectively, and we have used $\mathsf{p}_1(n-1) = \beta_{n-1} + \mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and $\mathsf{p}_1(n+1) = \mathsf{p}_1(n) - \beta_n$ to bring the right-hand sides into the above final form.

Now we eliminate R_n and R_{n-1} in (3.1). First, we rearrange (2.13) to give

$$R_n = \alpha - r_n - r_{n+1}.$$

Substituting r_n and r_{n+1} given by (3.2) and (3.4) respectively into the above equation, we see that R_n may be expressed in terms of β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ as

$$R_n = \alpha - \frac{1}{k^2-1}\left[n+\frac{1}{2} - k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)(\beta_n+\beta_{n+1}) + 2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right]. \tag{3.5}$$

Replacing n by $n-1$ and $\mathsf{p}_1(n-1)$ by $\mathsf{p}_1(n) + \beta_{n-1}$ in (3.5) we have

$$R_{n-1} = \alpha - \frac{1}{k^2-1}\left[n-\frac{1}{2} - k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n - k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big)\beta_{n-1} + 2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right]. \tag{3.6}$$

In the final step we substitute R_n given by (3.5), R_{n-1} given by (3.6) and r_n given by (3.2) into (3.1). The equation (1.23), quadratic in $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ follows, completing the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Equipped with (1.23), we solve for $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ to obtain a third order difference equation satisfied by β_n, and prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Solving for $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ we find,

$$2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n) \;=\; -2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n+\beta+\alpha k^2\pm g_n, \tag{3.7}$$

with g_n given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_n^2 \;=\;& 4k^4\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big)\beta_n\beta_{n-1}+4k^4\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_{n+1}\beta_n\\ &+8k^4\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n^2-4k^2(k^2+1)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n\\ &+(\alpha k^2+\beta)^2+k^2n(n+2\alpha+2\beta). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Making the shift $n\to n+1$ in (3.7) leads to

$$2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n+1) \;=\; -2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_{n+1}+\beta+\alpha k^2\pm g_{n+1}. \tag{3.9}$$

If we subtract (3.9) from (3.7), and note that $\beta_n=\mathsf{p}_1(n)-\mathsf{p}_1(n+1)$, a little simplification gives,

$$0 \;=\; 2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_n)\mp g_{n+1}\pm g_n. \tag{3.10}$$

This is a third order difference equation satisfied by β_{n+2}, β_{n+1}, β_n and β_{n-1}.

All that remains to be done is to choose the correct sign in (3.10). Taking the sum of (3.10) from $j=0$ to $j=n-1$, we would like to recover (3.7). With the aid of the identity

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} j\big(\beta_{j+1}-\beta_j\big) \;=\; n\beta_n-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\beta_{j+1}, \tag{3.11}$$

the sum of (3.10) from $j=0$ to $j=n-1$ simplifies to

$$0 \;=\; 2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n+2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\mp g_n\pm g_0. \tag{3.12}$$

From (3.8), we see that $g_0=\alpha k^2+\beta$. We take the "lower" signs to make (3.12) compatible with (3.7).

Hence β_n satisfies the following third order difference equation:

$$0 \;=\; 2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_n)+g_{n+1}-g_n. \tag{3.13}$$

Finally, removing the square roots from (3.13) we obtain

$$16k^4\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)^2(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_n)^2g_n^2 \;=\; \Big[g_{n+1}^2-g_n^2-4k^4\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)^2(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_n)^2\Big]^2, \tag{3.14}$$

thus completing the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We state a very simple lemma, before the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.1. *β_{n-1}, $p_1(n)$ and $p_1(n-1)$ satisfy the identity:*

$$[p_1(n-1)]^2 - [p_1(n)]^2 = \beta_{n-1}^2 + 2\beta_{n-1}p_1(n). \tag{3.15}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We isolate $[\mathrm{p}_1(n)]^2$ in (1.23) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k^2[\mathrm{p}_1(n)]^2 &= -\Big[2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n - \alpha k^2 - \beta\Big]\mathrm{p}_1(n) + k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n^2 \\ &\quad + \Big[k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_{n+1} - \Big(\Big(\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)k^2 + \Big(\alpha+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big) \\ &\quad + k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big)\beta_{n-1}\Big]\beta_n + \frac{n}{2}\Big(\frac{n}{2}+\alpha+\beta\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

We now replace $[\mathrm{p}_1(n)]^2$ in (3.15) by the right side of (3.16). In order to do the same for $[\mathrm{p}_1(n-1)]^2$, we use (3.16) again, but with n replaced by $n-1$. This equation now contains a linear term in $\mathrm{p}_1(n-1)$, which is $\mathrm{p}_1(n)+\beta_{n-1}$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 4k^2(\beta_n - \beta_{n-1})\mathrm{p}_1(n) - 2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)\beta_n(\beta_n+\beta_{n+1}) + 2\Big[\Big(\alpha+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big) + \Big(\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)k^2\Big]\beta_n \\ &\quad + 2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{5}{2}\Big)\beta_{n-1}(\beta_{n-1}+\beta_{n-2}) - 2\Big[\Big(\alpha+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big) + \Big(\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big)k^2\Big]\beta_{n-1} - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

In order to re-write the above equation consistent with Rees' equation we replace $\beta_{n-1}\mathrm{p}_1(n)$ in (3.17) using the easy identity,

$$\beta_{n-1}\mathrm{p}_1(n) = \beta_{n-1}(\mathrm{p}_1(n-2) - \beta_{n-1} - \beta_{n-2}),$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \beta_{n-1}\Big[2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\Big)(\beta_{n-1}+\beta_{n-2}) - 2\Big[\Big(\alpha+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big) + \Big(\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big)k^2\Big] - 4k^2\mathrm{p}_1(n-2)\Big] \\ &\quad -\beta_n\Big[2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)(\beta_n+\beta_{n+1}) - 2\Big[\Big(\alpha+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big) + \Big(\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)k^2\Big] - 4k^2\mathrm{p}_1(n)\Big] - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

This is (1.25) with C_n given by (1.26). □

Remark. To reiterate, equation (1.25), or its equivalent form (3.17) are essentially generalizations of Rees' equation, valid for $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Had we eliminated $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ from (3.17), we would have obtained the following fourth order difference equation satisfied by β_n:

$$\begin{aligned} 2k^2(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_n)\beta_n(\beta_n-\beta_{n-1}) &= k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)(\beta_{n+1}^2-\beta_n^2)\beta_n + k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big)\beta_n(\beta_n^2-\beta_{n-1}^2) \\ &\quad +(k^2+1)\beta_n(\beta_{n+1}+\beta_n+\beta_{n-1}) - 3(k^2+1)\beta_{n+1}\beta_{n-1} + \frac{\beta_{n+1}}{2} - \beta_n + \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{2} \\ &\quad -k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{5}{2}\Big)(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_n)\beta_{n-1}(\beta_{n-1}+\beta_{n-2}) \\ &\quad -k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{5}{2}\Big)(\beta_{n+2}+\beta_{n+1})\beta_{n+1}(\beta_n-\beta_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Our difference equation (1.24) is one order lower compared with (3.19). The third order difference equation arises mainly due to (1.23), an equation that is not contained in the formalism of Rees.

The important idea is that if we instead start from (3.17), we can obtain our third order difference equation (1.24) *if we combine (3.17) with (1.23)*. Currently, this appears to be the only way of eliminating $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ from (3.17) without increasing the order of the difference equation from third to fourth.

4. Second order difference equations for β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$

In this section we prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. These are second order difference equations satisfied by β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$.

We prove Theorem 1.4 by first establishing 3 algebraic equations satisfied by r_n, β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$. The 'coefficients' of these, depending on β_{n+1}, β_{n-1}, n, k^2, α and β, are treated as constants. We then use MAPLE's elimination algorithm to eliminate r_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and we are left with the 'variable' β_n, expressed in terms of $\beta_{n+1}, \beta_{n-1}, n, k^2, \alpha$ and β. This is the second-order difference equation satisfied by β_n, mentioned above.

Lemma 4.1. *The terms r_n, β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ satisfy the following system of 3 equations:*

$$(k^2-1)r_n = \frac{n}{2} - k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n + k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n), \tag{4.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_n(r_n-\alpha) &= \beta_n\left[\alpha - \frac{1}{k^2-1}\left(n+\frac{1}{2} - k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)(\beta_n+\beta_{n+1}) + 2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right)\right] \\ &\quad \times\left[\alpha - \frac{1}{k^2-1}\left(n-\frac{1}{2} - k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n - k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\beta_{n-1} + 2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

$$(k^2-1)r_n^2-(n+\beta+\alpha k^2)r_n-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}+\beta\right)+k^2\beta_n\Bigg[\alpha+\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(-\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{k^2-1}\Bigg\{2(\alpha+\beta+n)\Big(n+2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\Big)-\frac{1}{2}-k^2\left(2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2-1\right)\beta_n$$
$$-k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_{n+1}-k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\beta_{n-1}\Bigg\}\Bigg] \;=\; 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Equation (4.1) is a restatement of (2.26). To obtain (4.2), we eliminate R_n and R_{n-1} in (2.18) using (3.5) and (3.6) respectively.

Equation (4.3) may be obtained in two steps. First, we restate (2.29) as,

$$(k^2-1)r_n^2-r_n(n+\beta+\alpha k^2)-\frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}+\beta\right) \;=\; k^2\beta_n\Bigg[\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)R_{n-1}+\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)R_n$$
$$-\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\Bigg]. \tag{4.4}$$

Second, we eliminate R_n and R_{n-1} from the above with (3.5) and (3.6) to obtain (4.3). □

4.1. *Proof of Theorem 1.4*

Proof. Equations (4.1)–(4.3) may be regarded as a system of non-linear algebraic equations satisfied by r_n, β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$, where we treat β_{n+1}, β_{n-1}, n, k^2, α and β as constants.

MAPLE's elimination algorithm is then applied to express $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and r_n in terms of β_n as

$$\begin{aligned}4k^2K_n\mathsf{p}_1(n) \;=\;& 2\left[2k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\beta_{n+1}+10k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{7}{10}\right)\beta_n-(2\beta+2n+1)k^2-(2\alpha+2n+1)\right]\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)k^2\beta_n\beta_{n-1}\\
&+2\left[10\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{10}\right)k^2\beta_n-(2\beta+2n-1)k^2-(2\alpha+2n-1)\right]\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)k^2\beta_n\beta_{n+1}\\
&+20\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{10}\right)k^4{\beta_n}^3-24\left[k^2(\alpha+\beta+n)\left(\beta+n+\frac{1}{3}\right)+(\alpha+\beta+n)\left(\alpha+n+\frac{1}{3}\right)-\frac{1}{4}(k^2+1)\right]k^2{\beta_n}^2\\
&+\Big[(2\beta+2n+1)(2\beta+2n-1)k^4+\big(12n^2+2n-1+(16n+2)(\alpha+\beta)+8\alpha\beta\big)k^2+(2\alpha+2n+1)(2\alpha+2n-1)\Big]\beta_n\\
&-2n\left[\left(\beta+\frac{n}{2}\right)k^2+\alpha+\frac{n}{2}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}4(k^2-1)K_nr_n \;=\;& 2\left[2k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\beta_{n+1}+6k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{5}{6}\right)\beta_n-(2\beta+2n+1)k^2-(2\alpha+1)\right]\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)k^2\beta_n\beta_{n-1}\\
&+2\left[6k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{5}{6}\right)\beta_n-(2\beta+2n-1)k^2-(2\alpha-1)\right]\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)k^2\beta_n\beta_{n+1}-\big(28(\alpha+\beta+n)^2+9\big)k^4{\beta_n}^3\\
&+8\left[(k^2+1)(\alpha+\beta+n)(2\alpha-\beta-n)+\frac{3}{4}(k^2+1)-3(\alpha+\beta+n)(\alpha-n-\beta)\right]k^2{\beta_n}^2\\
&+\Big[(2\beta+2n+1)(2\beta+2n-1)k^4-(4\alpha^2+4\beta^2+1)k^2+4(\alpha^2-n^2)-8n\beta-1\Big]\beta_n-2n(k^2-1)\left(\beta+\frac{n}{2}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

respectively. Here K_n is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}K_n \;&:=\; K(\beta_{n+1},\beta_n,\beta_{n-1},k^2,n,\alpha,\beta),\\
&=\; \Big(1+12\,k^2{\beta_n}^2+2\big((\beta_{n+1}+\beta_{n-1}-2)\,k^2-2\big)\beta_n\Big)(\alpha+\beta+n)+3k^2\beta_n\,(\beta_{n+1}-\beta_{n-1})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

We now substitute $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and r_n given by (4.5) and (4.6) respectively, into (4.1) and obtain Theorem 1.4 after simplifications. □

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Substituting

$$\beta_{n+1} = \mathsf{p}_1(n+1) - \mathsf{p}_1(n+2), \tag{4.8}$$

$$\beta_n = \mathsf{p}_1(n) - \mathsf{p}_1(n+1), \tag{4.9}$$

$$\beta_{n-1} = \mathsf{p}_1(n-1) - \mathsf{p}_1(n), \tag{4.10}$$

into the second order difference equation for β_n, (1.27), we obtain a third order difference equation satisfied by $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$. However, using the same method used in the proof of Theorem 1.4, a second order difference equation satisfied by $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ may be obtained.

We give an outline of the proof.

The crucial idea is to use the system of algebraic equations in Lemma 4.1, (4.1)–(4.3). We substitute β_{n+1}, β_n and β_{n-1} into this system using (4.8)–(4.10) to obtain a system of equations satisfied by the variables r_n, $\mathsf{p}_1(n+2)$, $\mathsf{p}_1(n+1)$, $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and $\mathsf{p}_1(n-1)$. By using MAPLE's elimination algorithm to eliminate r_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n+2)$, from the 3 equations, we are left with a second order difference equation satisfied by $\mathsf{p}_1(n+1)$, $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and $\mathsf{p}_1(n-1)$, thus completing the proof of Theorem 1.5.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

The ladder operator approach leads directly to a second order linear ode satisfied by the orthogonal polynomials. For the given weight, the equation can be described by exactly the same auxiliary variables that were used to find the previous difference equations.

In this case, eliminating $P_{n-1}(x)$ from (1.46), we have the ode

$$P_n''(x) - \left(\mathsf{v}'(x) + \frac{A_n'(x)}{A_n(x)}\right) P_n'(x) + \left(B_n'(x) - B_n(x)\frac{A_n'(x)}{A_n(x)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j(x)\right) P_n(x) = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

From (2.3), (2.7) and (2.8), we may rewrite $\mathsf{v}'(x)$, $A_n(x)$ and $B_n(x)$ as the following rational functions in x:

$$\mathsf{v}'(x) = -\Big(\log w(x)\Big)' = -\frac{2x\Big[k^2(\alpha+\beta)x^2 - \alpha - \beta k^2\Big]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \tag{4.12}$$

$$A_n(x) = -\frac{2\Big[R_n(k^2x^2-1) + kR_n^*(x^2-1)\Big]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \tag{4.13}$$

$$B_n(x) = \frac{2x\Big[r_n(k^2x^2-1) + k^2r_n^*(x^2-1)\Big]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \tag{4.14}$$

where R_n, r_n, R_n^*, and r_n^* are the auxiliary variables, defined by (2.9).

We also know that R_n^* can be expressed in terms of R_n as (2.23),

$$\frac{R_n^*}{k} = \alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}-R_n, \tag{4.15}$$

while r_n^* can be expressed in terms of r_n as (2.22),

$$r_n^* = -r_n-\frac{n}{2}. \tag{4.16}$$

Now, we can express R_n in terms of β_n, β_{n+1} and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ as (3.5),

$$R_n = \alpha-\frac{1}{k^2-1}\left[n+\frac{1}{2}-k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)(\beta_n+\beta_{n+1})+2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right], \tag{4.17}$$

and r_n may be expressed in terms of β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ as (2.26),

$$r_n = \frac{1}{k^2-1}\left[\frac{n}{2}-k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n+k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right]. \tag{4.18}$$

4.3.1. Coefficient of $P_n'(x)$ in (4.11)

To calculate the coefficient of $P_n'(x)$ in (4.11), we calculate the value of $-\mathsf{v}'(x)-A_n'(x)/A_n(x)$.

To calculate $A_n'(x)/A_n(x)$, we notice that $\frac{d}{dx}\log\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right)=\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)}-\frac{q'(x)}{q(x)}$, and hence

$$\frac{A_n'(x)}{A_n(x)} = \frac{2x\left[k^2R_n+kR_n^*\right]}{\left[R_n(k^2x^2-1)+kR_n^*(x^2-1)\right]}-\frac{2x\left[2k^2x^2-1-k^2\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}. \tag{4.19}$$

To simplify the first term (squared term in x in the denominator), we proceed with the following steps:

1. We eliminate R_n^* in favor of R_n in both the numerator and denominator using (4.15).
2. We eliminate R_n in favor of β_n, β_{n+1} and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ using (4.17).
3. We simplify and identify $M_n(x)$ and $Y(x)$.

Hence

$$\frac{A_n'(x)}{A_n(x)} = \frac{2xk^2\left[\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right]}{\left[(x^2-1)k^2(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2})+(k^2-1)R_n\right]}-\frac{2x\left[2k^2x^2-1-k^2\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \tag{4.20}$$

$$= \frac{2xk^2\left[\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right]}{\left[(x^2-1)k^2(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2})+(k^2-1)\alpha-(n+\frac{1}{2})+k^2(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2})(\beta_n+\beta_{n+1})-2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right]}$$
$$-\frac{2x\left[2k^2x^2-1-k^2\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \tag{4.21}$$

$$= \frac{M_n'(x)}{M_n(x)}-\frac{2x\left[2k^2x^2-1-k^2\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \tag{4.22}$$

$$= \frac{M_n'(x)}{M_n(x)}-\frac{Y'(x)}{Y(x)}, \tag{4.23}$$

where

$$M_n(x) := -2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2x^2 - C_n, \tag{4.24}$$

$$C_n := 2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)k^2(\beta_n+\beta_{n+1}) - 2\left[\left(\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2+\alpha+n+\frac{1}{2}\right] - 4k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n), \tag{4.25}$$

$$Y(x) := (1-x^2)(1-k^2x^2). \tag{4.26}$$

Hence combining (4.12) and (4.23), the coefficient of $P_n'(x)$ in (4.11) is given by

$$-\mathsf{v}'(x) - \frac{A_n'(x)}{A_n(x)} = -\frac{M_n'(x)}{M_n(x)} + \frac{2x\left[2k^2x^2-1-k^2\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)} + \frac{2x\left[k^2x^2(\alpha+\beta)-\alpha-\beta k^2\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \tag{4.27}$$

$$= -\frac{M_n'(x)}{M_n(x)} + \frac{2x\left[k^2x^2(\alpha+\beta+2)-1-\alpha-k^2(1+\beta)\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \tag{4.28}$$

$$= -\frac{M_n'(x)}{M_n(x)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{X'(x)}{X(x)}, \tag{4.29}$$

where

$$X(x) := (1-x^2)^{2\alpha+2}(1-k^2x^2)^{2\beta+2}. \tag{4.30}$$

4.3.2. Coefficient of $P_n(x)$ in (4.11)

To calculate the coefficient of $P_n(x)$ in (4.11), we calculate the value of $B_n'(x) - B_n(x)\frac{A_n'(x)}{A_n(x)} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j(x)$. We first eliminate R_n^* in favor of R_n in (4.13) using (4.15).

$$A_n(x) = -\frac{2\left[x^2(k^2R_n+kR_n^*)-R_n-kR_n^*\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \tag{4.31}$$

$$= -\frac{2\left[k^2(x^2-1)(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2})+(k^2-1)R_n\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}. \tag{4.32}$$

We then take a telescopic sum of (4.32) from $j=0$ to $j=n-1$, where we use the following result obtained from Theorem 2.3:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}R_j = -r_n(\alpha+\beta+n) + \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}+\beta+\alpha k^2\right)\frac{1}{k^2-1} - \frac{k^2}{k^2-1}\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n. \tag{4.33}$$

We proceed with the following steps:

1. We replace $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j$ in the telescopic sum of (4.32) using (4.33).
2. We replace r_n by β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ using (4.18).

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j(x) &= -\frac{2k^2(x^2-1)n\left(\alpha+\beta+\frac{n}{2}\right)}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)} \\
&\quad +\frac{4(k^2-1)r_n(\alpha+\beta+n)-2n\left(\frac{n}{2}+\beta+\alpha k^2\right)+4k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \qquad (4.34)\\
&= \frac{-2k^2(x^2-1)n\left(\alpha+\beta+\frac{n}{2}\right)+4\left[\frac{n}{2}-k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n+k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right](\alpha+\beta+n)}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)} \\
&\quad +\frac{-2n\left(\frac{n}{2}+\beta+\alpha k^2\right)+4k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \qquad (4.35)\\
&= \frac{-k^2x^2n(n+2\alpha+2\beta)+nk^2(n+2\beta)+n(n+2\alpha)+4k^2(\alpha+\beta+n)\mathsf{p}_1(n)-2k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}. \qquad (4.36)
\end{aligned}$$

To compute $B_n(x)$ we have from (4.14), that $B_n(x)$ is given by

$$B_n(x) = \frac{2x\left[k^2x^2(r_n+r_n^*)-r_n-k^2r_n^*\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}.$$

To simplify $B_n(x)$, we proceed in the following way:

1. We eliminate r_n^* in favor of r_n in (20) using (4.16).
2. We then eliminate r_n in favor of β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ using (4.18).
3. We simplify and identify $L_n(x)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n(x) &= \frac{2x\left[-\frac{n}{2}k^2x^2+(k^2-1)r_n+\frac{n}{2}k^2\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \qquad (4.37)\\
&= \frac{x\left[-nk^2x^2+n(1+k^2)-2k^2(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2})\beta_n+2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right]}{(x^2-1)(k^2x^2-1)}, \qquad (4.38)\\
&= -\frac{L_n(x)}{Y(x)}, \qquad (4.39)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_n(x) := x\left[nk^2x^2-n(1+k^2)+2k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n-2k^2\mathsf{p}_1(n)\right]. \qquad (4.40)$$

Hence, combining (4.23), (4.36) and (4.39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n'(x)-B_n(x)\frac{A_n'(x)}{A_n(x)}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}A_j(x) &= -\frac{L_n(x)/x+2nk^2x^2}{Y(x)}+\frac{L_n(x)M_n'(x)}{Y(x)M_n(x)} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{Y(x)}\Big[-k^2x^2n(n+2\alpha+2\beta)+nk^2(n+2\beta)+n(n+2\alpha) \\
&\qquad +4k^2(\alpha+\beta+n)\mathsf{p}_1(n)-2k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\Big)\beta_n\Big], \qquad (4.41)\\
&= \frac{U_n(x)}{Y(x)}+\frac{L_n(x)M_n'(x)}{Y(x)M_n(x)}, \qquad (4.42)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$U_n(x) := -k^2x^2n(n+2\alpha+2\beta+3) + 2k^2(2n+2\alpha+2\beta+1)\mathsf{p}_1(n+1) + nk^2(n+2\beta+1) + n(n+2\alpha+1). \tag{4.43}$$

Hence, we have completed the derivation to equation (1.29), completing the proof of Theorem 1.6.

5. Special solutions of the second and third order difference equation for β_n

A number of explicit solutions can be obtained through the specialization of α, β, and k^2. In these situations, β_n are the essentially the recurrence coefficients of the symmetric Jacobi polynomials. We present some here to verify the difference equations.

We begin with the third-order difference equation.

5.1. Reduction to Jacobi Polynomials: Third order difference equation

There are three special choices of α, β and k^2 where our weight (1.8) reduces to the Jacobi weight

$$w^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta, \qquad x \in [-1,1], \quad \alpha, \beta > -1. \tag{5.1}$$

Note that the recurrence coefficients for monic Jacobi polynomials are given by [24]

$$\beta_n = \frac{4n(n+\alpha)(n+\beta)(n+\alpha+\beta)}{(2n+\alpha+\beta)^2(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)(2n+\alpha+\beta-1)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Please note that this is a double use of β and α, but it is in keeping with the standard notation. The three cases are as follows:

1. $k^2 = 0$, while α and β remain arbitrary.

   For this case, our weight (1.8) reduces to

   $$w(x,0) = (1-x^2)^\alpha = w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x).$$

   This is a special case of the Jacobi weight where $\alpha = \beta$.

   Since there is only one parameter in the weight, we should expect β not to appear in the third-order difference equation (1.24). It turns out, in this situation, (1.24) reduces to two equations. One is

   $$\beta_{n+1}(2\alpha+2n+3) = 1 + \beta_n(2\alpha+2n-1). \tag{5.3}$$

   The other is the same with α replaced with $\alpha+2\beta$.

Solving the difference equations with the initial condition $\beta_0 = 0$, leads to the following solutions:

$$\beta_n = \frac{n(n+2\alpha)}{(2n+2\alpha+1)(2n+2\alpha-1)}, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\beta_n = \frac{n(n+2\alpha+4\beta)}{(2n+2\alpha+4\beta+1)(2n+2\alpha+4\beta-1)}. \tag{5.5}$$

The β-independent solution gives the recurrence coefficient associated with the Jacobi weight $w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)$. The second solution, which depends on β, is not the recurrence coefficient associated with that weight. However, it is the correct recurrence coefficient for the Jacobi weight $w^{(\alpha+2\beta,\alpha+2\beta)}(x)$.

2. $\beta = 0$, while α and k^2 remain arbitrary.

   In this case, although our weight (1.8) reduces to the same weight as in case 1, a third-order equation remains. It is not clear how a solution for β_n maybe obtained; however, it can be verified that the known solution (5.4) satisfies the equation.

3. $k^2 = 1$, while α and β remains arbitrary.

   In this case (1.8) reduces to a special case of the Jacobi weight, i.e.,

$$w^{(\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta)}(x) = (1-x^2)^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

   Since α and β are arbitrary, this is the same as the weight function for the cases 1 and 2, but with $\alpha+\beta$ replaced by α. Nevertheless, (1.24) remains a non-linear third-order difference equation, and while we are unable to solve it explicitly, we can again readily check that

$$\beta_n = \frac{n(n+2\alpha+2\beta)}{(2n+2\alpha+2\beta+1)(2n+2\alpha+2\beta-1)}, \tag{5.6}$$

   is a known solution. A sub-case of the above where $\alpha = 0$ is also a known solution for the recurrence coefficient of the weight $w^{(\beta,\beta)}(x)$.

   In summary, for cases 1-3, the special values of α, β and k^2 lead to what is essentially the same Jacobi weight function (in case 3, α is replaced by $\alpha+\beta$),

$$w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x) = (1-x^2)^{\alpha}.$$

   However, (1.24) reduces to 3 distinct difference equations for the 3 cases considered. We check by substitution that all 3 difference equations have the correct solution.

5.2. Reduction to Jacobi Polynomials: Second order difference equation

There are three special values of α, β and k^2 which results in our weight (1.8) reducing to the Jacobi weight (5.1). These are:

1. $k^2 = 0$, while α and β remain arbitrary.

   Here, the weight (1.8) reduces to

$$w(x,0) = (1-x^2)^{\alpha} = w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x).$$

   Going through with the computations, our second order difference equation (1.27) reduces to the following *quadratic* equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\left(\alpha+2\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+2\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n-\left(\alpha+\beta+\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(\beta+\frac{n}{2}\right)\right]\\ \times\left[\left(\alpha+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n-\frac{n}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{2}\right)\right] &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

   Solving for β_n, we find,

$$\beta_n = \frac{n(n+2\alpha)}{(2n+2\alpha+1)(2n+2\alpha-1)}, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\beta_n = \frac{n(n+2\alpha+4\beta)}{(2n+2\alpha+4\beta+1)(2n+2\alpha+4\beta-1)}. \tag{5.9}$$

   The first solution gives the recurrence coefficient β_n for the Jacobi weight $w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)$, while the second, β-dependent solution, is the recurrence coefficient for $w^{(\alpha+2\beta,\alpha+2\beta)}(x)$.

   Remark. In the situation where $k^2 = 0$, we have seen, the third-order difference equation (1.24) reduces to a first-order difference equation (5.3), while our second-order difference equation (1.27) reduces to an *algebraic equation* satisfied by β_n, (5.7). Nonetheless, (5.3) and (5.7) give us the same solution for β_n.

   Cases 2 and 3 from the previous section can also be directly verified as before but do not seem to reduce to something simpler.

5.3. Fixed points of the second order equation

In anticipation of an asymptotic expansion for the desired quantities and in keeping with what is know in the classical Jacobi case, we now find the fixed points of the second order equation.

Take n large, fix k^2, and replace β_n by C in (1.27), where C depends on α, β and k^2, we find that (1.27) becomes a degree 6 equation in C:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (4C-1)^2(4k^2C-1)^2(k^2-1)^2(\alpha+\beta+n)^4 + \Big[2(k^2-1)^2(4k^2C-1)\alpha^2 + 2(k^2-1)^2(4C-1)\beta^2 \\ &\quad -2C(8k^2C-1-k^2)(16k^4C^2-4k^4C-4k^2C+k^4-k^2+1)\Big](4k^2C-1)(4C-1)(\alpha+\beta+n)^2 \\ &\quad +\Big[48k^4C^3-12k^2(1+k^2)C^2-\Big((4\alpha^2-1)k^4-(4\alpha^2+4\beta^2+1)k^2+4\beta^2-1\Big)C+(\alpha^2-\beta^2)(k^2-1)\Big]^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

This is also a degree 4 equation in n. For large n, we discard beyond $O(n^4)$ to find,

$$\left(k^2C-\frac{1}{4}\right)^2\left(C-\frac{1}{4}\right)^2(k^2-1)^2 = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta_n = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad\qquad \lim_{n\to\infty}\beta_n = \frac{1}{4k^2}, \tag{5.12}$$

since $0<k^2<1$. We discard the $\frac{1}{4k^2}$ solution, since from [10, 31], it is to be expected that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt{\beta_n} = \frac{1}{2}$, where $\frac{1}{2}$ is the transfinite diameter[3] of $[-1,1]$.

6. Large n expansion of β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$

In this section we use our difference equations (1.27) and (1.28) to compute the large n expansion of β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ respectively. Using our difference equations, we find a complete asymptotic expansion for β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$, with explicitly computable constants that only depend on k^2, α and β. The form of the asymptotic expansion for the following quantities was shown to exist in [25] using the Riemann-Hilbert approach. Our difference equation approach enables a very quick calculation of the asymptotic expansion of β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$, with determined constants. We shall then show in the next two sections that either expansion (β_n or $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$) may be used to compute the large n expansion of the Hankel determinant D_n, which holds independently of [25].

In the large n limit, it follows from [25] that β_n has an expansion of the following form:

$$\beta_n = a_0(k^2,\alpha,\beta) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{a_j(k^2,\alpha,\beta)}{n^j}, \qquad \alpha>-1,\ \ \beta\in\mathbb{R},\ \ k^2\in(0,1). \tag{6.1}$$

The following table lists some of the expansion coefficients a_j for β_n corresponding to some of the Classical Orthogonal Polynomials. Our general coefficients $a_j(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$ should reduce to these values for special values of k^2, α and β.

[3] For a real interval $[A,B]\subset\mathbb{R}$, the transfinite diameter is given by $(B-A)/4$.

Table 1: The Large n Expansion Coefficients of β_n for Some Classical Orthogonal Polynomials (the higher order coefficients are given in terms of a_2).

Name	Jacobi	Legendre	Chebyshev 1st/2nd
$w(x)$	$(1-x^2)^{\alpha}$	1	$(1-x^2)^{\mp\frac{1}{2}}$
a_0	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
a_1	0	0	0
a_2	$\frac{1-4\alpha^2}{16}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	0
a_3	$-2\alpha a_2$	0	0
a_4	$\frac{a_2}{4}(12\alpha^2+1)$	$\frac{a_2}{4}$	0
a_5	$-\alpha a_2(4\alpha^2+1)$	0	0
a_6	$\frac{a_2}{16}(80\alpha^4+40\alpha^2+1)$	$\frac{a_2}{16}$	0

We use two methods to study the expansion coefficients $a_j(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$, where we refrain from showing the k^2, α and β dependence henceforth. First using the second-order difference equation (1.27) in Section 6.1, and then using the third-order equation (1.24) in Section 6.2.

6.1. *Large n expansion of second order difference equation for β_n*

Upon substitution of (6.1) into (1.27), and taking a large n limit, we have an expression of the form

$$e_{-4}n^4 + e_{-3}n^3 + \sum_{j=-2}^{\infty}\frac{e_j}{n^j} \quad = \quad 0, \tag{6.2}$$

where each e_j depends upon the expansion coefficients a_j, α, β and k^2 (to be precisely determined). Assuming that in order to satisfy the above equation, each power of n is identically zero, the equation $e_{-4}=0$ gives us the following relation:

$$(k^2-1)^2\left(k^2a_0-\frac{1}{4}\right)^2\left(a_0-\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \quad = \quad 0. \tag{6.3}$$

This is just (5.11), as expected.

Even though, $k^2\in(0,1)$, we can still apply the expansion (6.2) to obtain the large n expansion of β_n for $k^2=1$. In the following subsections, we consider two separate cases, one where $k^2\in(0,1)$ and the other where $k^2=1$.

6.1.1. *Large n expansion of (1.27) for $k^2\in(0,1)$*

Given the previous computation, it is natural to examine the large n expansion around $\beta_n=\frac{1}{4}$, and so we set $a_0=\frac{1}{4}$. We now state the following lemma:

Lemma 6.1. *β_n has the following large n expansion in powers of $1/n$:*

$$\beta_n \;=\; \frac{1}{4} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j(k^2)}{n^j}, \qquad \alpha > -1, \;\; \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; k^2 \in (0,1), \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$a_1(k^2) \;=\; 0, \tag{6.5}$$

$$a_2(k^2) \;=\; \frac{1-4\alpha^2}{16}, \tag{6.6}$$

$$a_3(k^2) \;=\; \frac{(4\alpha^2-1)}{8}\left[\alpha+\beta-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right], \tag{6.7}$$

$$a_4(k^2) \;=\; \frac{(1-4\alpha^2)}{64}\left[12(\alpha+\beta)^2+1-\frac{24(\alpha+\beta)\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}+\frac{12\beta^2}{1-k^2}\right], \tag{6.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_5(k^2) \;=\; & \frac{(4\alpha^2-1)}{64}\left[4\Big(4(\alpha+\beta)^2+1\Big)(\alpha+\beta)-\frac{\Big(48(\alpha+\beta)^2+4\alpha^2-5\Big)\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}+\frac{48\beta^2(\alpha+\beta)}{1-k^2}\right. \\ & \left.-\frac{(16\beta^2-4\alpha^2+9)\beta}{(1-k^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_6(k^2) \;=\; & \frac{5(1-4\alpha^2)}{64}\left[4(\alpha+\beta)^4+2(\alpha+\beta)^2+\frac{1}{20}-\frac{\beta^2(4\alpha^2-4\beta^2-9)}{(1-k^2)^2}+\frac{\beta^2\Big(24(\alpha+\beta)^2+4\alpha^2-7\Big)}{1-k^2}\right. \\ & \left.-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\left\{\Big(16(\alpha+\beta)^2+4\alpha^2-5\Big)\beta(\alpha+\beta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha+\beta)(4\alpha^2-16\beta^2-9)}{1-k^2}\right\}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

are the first few terms, with more terms easily computable.

We can check that the limits of each coefficient as $k^2 \to 0$ reduces to those listed in Table 1.

Proof. Setting $a_0 = \frac{1}{4}$ then leads to the quantity

$$e_{-3} \;=\; 128(k^2-1)^2\left(k^2a_0-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(a_0-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}(4a_0-1)(4k^2a_0-1)(\alpha+\beta)-a_1(k^2+1-8k^2a_0)\right], \tag{6.11}$$

vanishing identically. The equation $e_{-2} = 0$ then gives rise to

$$a_1^2(k^2-1)^4 \;=\; 0. \tag{6.12}$$

Recalling that $k^2 \in (0,1)$, we obtain

$$a_1 \;=\; 0. \tag{6.13}$$

With $a_0 = \frac{1}{4}$ and $a_1 = 0$, we find that e_{-1} vanishes identically, while the equation $e_0 = 0$ gives us

$$(k^2-1)^4\left(4a_2-\frac{1}{4}+\alpha^2\right)^2 = 0. \tag{6.14}$$

Solving for a_2, we find that

$$a_2 \;=\; \frac{1-4\alpha^2}{16}, \tag{6.15}$$

is independent of k^2, *the same* as that a_2 in Table 1.

With the above values for a_0, a_1 and a_2, we find that e_1 vanishes identically, while setting $e_2 = 0$ gives

$$(k^2-1)^3\Big[\big((4\alpha^2-1)(\alpha+\beta)-8a_3\big)^2k^2-\big(\alpha(4\alpha^2-1)-8a_3\big)\big((4\alpha^2-1)(\alpha+2\beta)-8a_3\big)\Big] \;=\; 0. \quad (6.16)$$

Solving for a_3 in the above equation gives

$$a_3 \;=\; \frac{1}{8}\frac{\big((\alpha+\beta)(k^2-1)\pm\beta\sqrt{1-k^2}\big)(4\alpha^2-1)}{k^2-1}. \quad (6.17)$$

In order to choose the correct sign for a_3, we take $k^2\to 0$ to find

$$a_3 \;=\; \frac{\alpha(4\alpha^2-1)}{8}, \quad (+\text{ sign}), \quad (6.18)$$

$$a_3 \;=\; \frac{(\alpha+2\beta)(4\alpha^2-1)}{8}, \quad (-\text{ sign}). \quad (6.19)$$

Comparing the above values for a_3 to the classical values of a_3 in Table 1, we find that we must take the positive square root sign in (6.17), and arrive at

$$a_3 \;=\; \frac{(4\alpha^2-1)}{8}\Big[\alpha+\beta-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\Big]. \quad (6.20)$$

This procedure easily extends to higher coefficients, from which we find a_4, a_5 and a_6. □

Equations (6.7)–(6.10) are singular at $k^2 = 1$. However, it is still possible to obtain the large n expansion of β_n at $k^2 = 1$ using equation (6.2). As we shall see, the crucial difference in the case where $k^2 = 1$ in comparison with the case where $k^2 \neq 1$ is that a_2 remains undetermined. The higher coefficients a_3, a_4 and so on are given in terms of a_2.

6.1.2. Large n expansion of (1.27) at $k^2 = 1$

Setting $k^2 = 1$ in (6.2), e_{-4} and e_{-3} vanish identically, while the equation $e_{-2} = 0$ gives

$$a_0(4a_0-1)^5 \;=\; 0. \quad (6.21)$$

Choosing $a_0 = \frac{1}{4}$, we find that e_{-1}, e_0, e_1 and e_2 vanish identically. The equation $e_3 = 0$ implies that $a_1 = 0$. Now, setting $a_0 = \frac{1}{4}$ and $a_1 = 0$, $e_4, e_5, \ldots, e_9$ vanish identically, and the equation $e_{10} = 0$ is equivalent to $a_3 = -2(\alpha+\beta)a_2$.

This procedure easily extends to higher coefficients, for example,

$$a_4 \;=\; \frac{a_2}{4}\Big[12(\alpha+\beta)^2+1\Big], \quad (6.22)$$

$$a_5 \;=\; -(\alpha+\beta)a_2\Big[4(\alpha+\beta)^2+1\Big]. \quad (6.23)$$

We see that the above values of a_4 and a_5 agrees with the those in Table 1.

6.2. Large n expansion of higher order difference equations for β_n

We can also substitute (6.1) into our third order difference equation for β_n, (1.24), and take a large n limit. Using the same procedure that we have used in Section 6.1, having set $a_0(k^2) = \frac{1}{4}$, the first few expansion coefficients $a_j(k^2)$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 5$ are found to be

$$a_0(k^2) = \frac{1}{4}, \tag{6.24}$$

$$a_1(k^2) = 0, \tag{6.25}$$

$$a_2(k^2) = a_2(k^2), \tag{6.26}$$

$$a_3(k^2) = \frac{a_2\sqrt{(16a_2+4\alpha^2-1)k^2-4\beta^2}}{\sqrt{k^2-1}} - 2(\alpha+\beta)a_2, \tag{6.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_4(k^2) = {} & 3a_2(\alpha+\beta)^2 + \frac{3a_2}{k^2-1}\left[\left(4a_2+\alpha^2-\frac{1}{6}\right)k^2-\beta^2-\frac{1}{12}\right] \\ & -\frac{3a_2(\alpha+\beta)\sqrt{(16a_2+4\alpha^2-1)k^2-4\beta^2}}{\sqrt{k^2-1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_5(k^2) = {} & -4a_2(\alpha+\beta)^3 - \frac{4a_2(\alpha+\beta)}{k^2-1}\left[\left(12a_2+3\alpha^2-\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2-3\beta^2-\frac{1}{4}\right] \\ & +\frac{6a_2(\alpha+\beta)^2\sqrt{(16a_2+4\alpha^2-1)k^2-4\beta^2}}{\sqrt{k^2-1}} \\ & +\frac{a_2}{(k^2-1)^{3/2}}\left[(6a_2+2\alpha^2-1)k^2-2\beta^2-\frac{1}{2}\right]\sqrt{(16a_2+4\alpha^2-1)k^2-4\beta^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

The crucial difference in the case of using the third order difference equation (1.24) in comparison with the second order difference equation (1.27) is that a_2 remains undetermined. The higher coefficients a_3, a_4 and so on are given in terms of a_2.

Similarly, we can use our fourth order difference equation for β_n, (3.19), to calculate the large n expansion of β_n. In this case we would find that a_2 and a_3 remains undetermined, with the higher coefficients a_4, a_5 and so on given in terms of a_2 and a_3.

By substituting in for a_2 using (6.6), we find that a_3, a_4 and a_5, given by (6.27), (6.28) and (6.29) respectively, precisely match with the coefficients a_3, a_4 and a_5 obtained from the expansion of the second order difference equation, given by (6.7), (6.8) and (6.9) respectively.

6.3. Large n expansion of second order difference equation for $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$

Using (1.28), we can find the asymptotic expansion of $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ in powers of $1/n$. We state this in the following lemma:

Lemma 6.2. *$p_1(n)$ has the following asymptotic expansion, for large n,*

$$p_1(n) \;=\; nb_{-1}(k^2) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_j(k^2)}{n^j}, \qquad \alpha > -1, \;\; \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; k^2 \in (0,1). \tag{6.30}$$

The coefficients $b_j(k^2)$, $j = -1, 0, 1, \ldots$, (which also depend on α and β) are explicitly computable. The first few up to b_5 are

$$b_{-1}(k^2) \;=\; -\frac{1}{4}, \tag{6.31}$$

$$b_0(k^2) \;=\; \frac{1}{4}(\alpha-\beta) + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{\beta(1-\sqrt{1-k^2})}{2k^2}, \tag{6.32}$$

$$b_1(k^2) \;=\; \frac{1-4\alpha^2}{16}, \tag{6.33}$$

$$b_2(k^2) \;=\; \frac{4\alpha^2-1}{16}\left[\alpha+\beta-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right], \tag{6.34}$$

$$b_3(k^2) \;=\; \frac{1-4\alpha^2}{16}\left[\alpha+\beta-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right]^2, \tag{6.35}$$

$$b_4(k^2) \;=\; \frac{4\alpha^2-1}{16}\left[\left(\alpha+\beta-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right)^3 + \frac{(4\alpha^2-9)\beta k^2}{16(1-k^2)^{3/2}}\right], \tag{6.36}$$

$$b_5(k^2) \;=\; \frac{1-4\alpha^2}{16}\left(\alpha+\beta-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right)\left[\left(\alpha+\beta-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right)^3 + \frac{(4\alpha^2-9)\beta k^2}{4(1-k^2)^{3/2}}\right]. \tag{6.37}$$

Proof. We substitute (6.30) into our second order difference equation for $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$, (1.28), which gives rise to

$$l_{-2}n^2 + l_{-1}n + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{l_j}{n^j} = 0, \tag{6.38}$$

in a large n expansion. The coefficients l_j depend on the expansion coefficients b_j, α, β and k^2. We then use the same procedure as in Section 6.1 to find the first few expansion coefficients b_j, $j = -1, 0, 1, \ldots, 5$ for $k^2 \in (0,1)$. □

For consistency, we can replace $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and $\mathsf{p}_1(n+1)$ in $\beta_n = \mathsf{p}_1(n) - \mathsf{p}_1(n+1)$ by their large n expansion (6.30), where we set $n = n$ and $n \to n+1$ respectively. Then, for large n, the recurrence coefficient β_n admits the following asymptotic expansion,

$$\beta_n \;=\; \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1-4\alpha^2}{16n^2} + \frac{(4\alpha^2-1)}{8n^3}\left[\alpha+\beta-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right] + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right). \tag{6.39}$$

We find that this agrees precisely with the expansion for β_n obtained in Section 6.1.

7. Large n expansion of the Hankel determinant

In this section we prove Theorem 1.7 and compute the large n expansion of our Hankel determinant

$$D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)] = \det\left(\int_{-1}^{1} x^{i+j} w(x,k^2)dx\right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1}, \tag{7.1}$$

and we recall for the Reader our weight function,

$$w(x,k^2) \;=\; (1-x^2)^{\alpha}(1-k^2x^2)^{\beta}, \qquad x\in[-1,1],\;\; \alpha>-1,\;\; \beta\in\mathbb{R},\;\; k^2\in(0,1).$$

7.1. Leading term of asymptotic expansion

We describe here a "linear statistics" approach to compute $D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]$ for large n, which gives the leading term of the asymptotic expansion. As this can be obtained using existing results, obtained in [15] and [3], we will be brief. The idea is to re-write our Hankel determinant as

$$D_n[w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}]\cdot\frac{D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]}{D_n[w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}]},$$

where $D_n[w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}]$ is the Hankel determinant generated by a special case of the Jacobi weight, $w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x) = (1-x^2)^{\alpha}$, $x\in[-1,1]$, $\alpha>-1$. A closed-form expression for this maybe obtained [3]; the leading term of its large n expansion reads,

$$D_n[w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}] \sim (2\pi)^n\, n^{\alpha^2-1/4}\, 2^{-n(n+\alpha)}\,\frac{(2\pi)^{\alpha}\pi^{1/2}[G(1/2)]^2}{2^{2\alpha^2}}[G(1+\alpha)]^2.$$

A straightforward application of the results obtained in [15] gives the following leading term for large n :

$$\frac{D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]}{D_n[w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}]} \sim \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-k^2}}{2}\right)^{2\beta\, n}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-k^2}}{2\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right)^{2\alpha\beta}\left[\frac{(1+\sqrt{1-k^2})^2}{4\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right]^{\beta^2}.$$

In the above constant the function G refers to the Barnes G-function, an entire function that satisfies the recurrence relation

$$G(z+1)=\Gamma(z)G(z), \qquad G(1)=1.$$

An alternative approach is described below. This is done by reducing (7.1) to another expansion of a certain Toeplitz plus Hankel determinant and then using [20].

Let us define, for $b(x)$ an even function on $[-1,1]$, the following determinant

$$\det H_n[b] = \det\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-1}^{1} b(x)(2x)^{j+k}\,dx\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}. \tag{7.2}$$

An easy computation shows that

$$\det H_n[b] = \frac{2^{n(n-1)}}{\pi^n}\det\left(\int_{-1}^{1} x^{j+k}b(x)\,dx\right)_{j,k=0}^{n-1}. \tag{7.3}$$

Therefore we would like to compute this determinant with $b(x) = w(x,k^2)$. From the computations in [5], we have that

$$\det H_n[b] = 2^{-2n\alpha}\det(T_n(a) + H_n(a)), \tag{7.4}$$

where

$$a(\theta) = (1-k^2\cos^2\theta)^{\beta}(2-2\cos\theta)^{\alpha+1/2}(2+2\cos\theta)^{\alpha-1/2}, \qquad 0<\theta\le\pi. \tag{7.5}$$

The above is a finite determinant of Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices. So the large n behavior of $D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]$ can be obtained from the large n behavior of $\det H_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]$, up to the factor

$$2^{-n(n-1)}\pi^n.$$

To compute the determinant of the Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices, and since a is even in θ we make use of a result presented in [20] to obtain,

$$\det(T_n(a) + H_n(a)) \sim \mathrm{e}^{nG}\, n^p\, E, \tag{7.6}$$

where G, p and E are expressed in terms of the parameters of our weight, α, β and k^2.

We have

$$\mathrm{e}^{nG} := \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-k^2}}{2}\right)^{2\beta\, n}, \tag{7.7}$$

$$p := \alpha^2 - 1/4, \tag{7.8}$$

and

$$E := \frac{(2\pi)^{\alpha}\pi^{1/2}[G(1/2)]^2}{2^{2\alpha^2}\,[G(1+\alpha)]^2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-k^2}}{2\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right)^{2\alpha\beta}\left[\frac{(1+\sqrt{1-k^2})^2}{4\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right]^{\beta^2}. \tag{7.9}$$

This means that, as $n\to\infty$,

$$D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)] \sim (2\pi)^n\, n^{\alpha^2-1/4}\, 2^{-n(n+2\alpha)}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-k^2}}{2}\right)^{2\beta\, n} E. \tag{7.10}$$

7.2. Correction terms of asymptotic expansion

We now supply the "correction terms" using the large n expansion of β_n. Recall that

$$\beta_n = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{a_2(\alpha,\beta,k^2)}{n^2} + \frac{a_3(\alpha,\beta,k^2)}{n^3} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right)$$

as $n \to \infty$. Let

$$F_n := -\log\ D_n,$$

be the "Free Energy". Since

$$\beta_n = \frac{D_{n+1}D_{n-1}}{D_n^2},$$

we have

$$-\log\beta_n = F_{n+1} - F_n - (F_n - F_{n-1}). \tag{7.11}$$

Following [10, 12], for large n, we can approximate the above second order difference by

$$-\log\beta_n \quad = \quad \frac{\partial^2 F_n}{\partial n^2} + \frac{1}{12}\frac{\partial^4 F_n}{\partial n^4} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\partial^6 F_n}{\partial n^6}\right). \tag{7.12}$$

We assume that F_n has the following expansion, for large n,

$$F_n \quad = \quad C(k^2,\alpha,\beta)\log n + \sum_{j=-2}^{\infty}\frac{c_j(k^2,\alpha,\beta)}{n^j}, \qquad \alpha > -1,\ \ \beta \in \mathbb{R},\ \ k^2 \in (0,1). \tag{7.13}$$

Ignoring $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\partial^6 F_n}{\partial n^6}\right)$ terms in (7.12), we now substitute (7.13) and the asymptotic expansion of β_n into (7.12). This give rise to

$$m_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{m_j}{n^j} = 0, \tag{7.14}$$

in a large n expansion. The coefficients m_j depends on the expansion coefficients C, c_j and $a_j(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$. Using the same procedure that we have used in Section 6.1, the first few expansion coefficients C and c_j, $j = -2,-1,0,\ldots,3$ are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} &C = 4a_2, \qquad c_{-2} = \log 2, \qquad c_{-1} = c_{-1}, \qquad c_0 = c_0, \\ &\qquad\qquad c_1 = -2a_3, \qquad c_2 = -\frac{2a_4 - a_2(4a_2+1)}{3}, \qquad c_3 = -\frac{a_5 - a_3(4a_2+1)}{3}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

where $a_2(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$—$a_5(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$ can be found in Section 6.1.

Using this method, the expansion for the Free Energy—and hence the Hankel determinant or the Partition Function, D_n,—contains two undetermined constants c_{-1} and c_0, which can only be determined

from (7.10). Incorporating the leading term of the large n expansion from (7.10), the complete asymptotic expansions reads,

$$D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)] \sim \exp\Big[-n^2 \log 2 + c_{-1}\, n - 4a_2 \log n + c_0 + \frac{2a_3}{n} + \frac{2a_4 - a_2(4a_2+1)}{3n^2} + \frac{a_5 - a_3(4a_2+1)}{3n^3} + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right) \Big], \tag{7.16}$$

where

$$\mathrm{e}^{c_0} = E, \tag{7.17}$$

and

$$c_{-1} = 2\beta \log\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-k^2}}{2}\right) + \log\pi + (1-2\alpha)\log 2. \tag{7.18}$$

To calculate higher order terms in (7.16), we would require $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\partial^6 F_n}{\partial n^6}\right)$ correction terms in (7.12). Notice that we could have easily approximated the second order difference in (7.11) by $\frac{\partial^2 F_n}{\partial n^2}$, for large n. We could then integrate $\log\beta_n$ twice with respect to n to recover the F_n and hence D_n, in a large n expansion. However, this would mean that our asymptotic expansion for D_n would only be valid up to and including the $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ term. This is because we obtain c_2 in terms of the coefficients a_2 and a_4 from the equation $m_4 = 0$, which has contributions from the $\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\partial^4 F_n}{\partial n^4}\right)$ term.

8. Alternative computation of large n expansion for D_n

In this section we give an alternative computation of the large n expansion of our Hankel determinant

$$D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)] = \det\left(\int_{-1}^{1} x^{i+j}(1-x^2)^{\alpha}(1-k^2x^2)^{\beta}dx\right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1}, \qquad \alpha > -1,\ \ \beta\in\mathbb{R},\ \ k^2\in(0,1), \tag{8.1}$$

using results obtained from the ladder operators. We combine the large n expansion for $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ with Toda-type time-evolution equations satisfied by D_n (to be presented in due course).

We restate Theorem 1.7 as the following:

Theorem 8.1. *The ratio $D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]/D_n[w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\cdot)]$ has an asymptotic expansion in n of the form*

$$\frac{D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]}{D_n[w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\cdot)]} = \frac{\left(1+\sqrt{1-k^2}\right)^{2\beta(n+\alpha+\beta)}}{2^{2\beta(n+\alpha+\beta)}\left(1-k^2\right)^{\beta(\alpha+\beta/2)}} \exp\left[\frac{(4\alpha^2-1)\beta}{4n}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\frac{(4\alpha^2-1)\beta}{8n^2}\left(2\alpha+\beta-\frac{2(\alpha+\beta)}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}+\frac{\beta}{1-k^2}\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right)\right]. \tag{8.2}$$

Hence, the Hankel determinant $D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]$ has the following asymptotic expansion in n:

$$D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)] = E\, n^{\alpha^2-1/4}\, 2^{-n(n+2\alpha)}\, (2\pi)^n \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-k^2}}{2}\right)^{2\beta n}$$
$$\times \exp\left[\frac{2a_3}{n}+\frac{2a_4-a_2\left(4a_2+1\right)}{3n^2}+\frac{a_5-a_3\left(4a_2+1\right)}{3n^3}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right)\right], \tag{8.3}$$

where the n-independent constant E is given by

$$E := \frac{(2\pi)^\alpha \pi^{1/2}[G(1/2)]^2}{2^{2(\alpha^2+\alpha\beta+\beta^2)}[G(1+\alpha)]^2}\cdot\frac{\left(1+\sqrt{1-k^2}\right)^{2\beta(\alpha+\beta)}}{(1-k^2)^{\beta(\alpha+\beta/2)}}. \tag{8.4}$$

In the above, the coefficients $a_2(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$—$a_5(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$ can be found in Section 6.1.

Note that the large n expansion for $D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]$, equation (8.3), precisely agrees with the result obtained from Section 7, equation (7.16).

To prove Theorem 8.1, we first need to find the Toda-type time-evolution equations for our Hankel determinant.

8.1. Toda evolution

In this section, n is kept fixed while we vary the parameter k^2 in the weight function (1.8). The other parameters α and β are also kept fixed.

Differentiating the definition of h_n, equation (1.14), w.r.t. k^2, and integrating by parts, we have

$$k^2\frac{d}{dk^2}\log h_n = R_n - n - \alpha - \frac{1}{2}. \tag{8.5}$$

From (1.17), i.e. $\beta_n = h_n/h_{n-1}$, this implies that

$$k^2\frac{d}{dk^2}\log\beta_n = R_n - R_{n-1} - 1. \tag{8.6}$$

8.2. Toda evolution of Hankel determinant

In this section, we describe how we can express the logarithmic derivative of the Hankel determinant D_n in terms of $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and $\mathsf{p}_1(n+1)$. This is established in the following lemma:

Lemma 8.2. *We define the quantity $H_n(k^2)$ through the Hankel determinant $D_n(k^2) := D_n[w(\cdot, k^2)]$ as*

$$H_n(k^2) \quad = \quad k^2(k^2-1)\frac{d}{dk^2}\log D_n(k^2). \tag{8.7}$$

Then we may express $H_n(k^2)$ in terms of $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and $\mathsf{p}_1(n+1)$ as

$$H_n(k^2) \quad = \quad -k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)p_1(n) - k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)p_1(n+1) - \frac{n^2k^2}{2}. \tag{8.8}$$

Proof. Using (1.15), i.e. $D_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} h_j$, and (8.5), we can write the logarithmic derivative of the Hankel determinant D_n as

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(k^2) \quad &= \quad k^2(k^2-1)\frac{d}{dk^2}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\log h_j, \\ &= \quad (k^2-1)\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j - n\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{2}\right)(k^2-1). \end{aligned} \tag{8.9}$$

We replace $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j$ using (2.30) to arrive at

$$\frac{H_n(k^2)}{2} \quad = \quad -(k^2-1)(\alpha+\beta+n)r_n - k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n + \frac{n}{2}\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{nk^2}{2}\right), \tag{8.10}$$

$$= \quad \frac{k^2}{2}\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\beta_n - k^2(\alpha+\beta+n)\mathsf{p}_1(n) - \frac{n^2k^2}{4}, \tag{8.11}$$

where the second equality follows from eliminating r_n in favor of β_n and $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ using (2.26). Finally, eliminating β_n using the identity $\beta_n = \mathsf{p}_1(n) - \mathsf{p}_1(n+1)$ leads to equation (8.8), completing the proof. □

Combining Lemma 8.2 with the large n expansion for $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$, (6.30), will allow us to compute the large n expansion for D_n.

8.3. Proof of Theorem 8.1

Proof. To calculate the large n expansion of $D_n(k^2)$, the idea is to re-write our Hankel determinant as

$$D_n(0)\cdot\frac{D_n(k^2)}{D_n(0)},$$

where $D_n(0)$ is the Hankel determinant generated generated by a special case of the Jacobi weight, $w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x) = (1-x^2)^\alpha, \quad x\in[-1,1], \quad \alpha > -1$. The leading order term for the expansion of $D_n(0)$ can be found in [3]. However, we require higher order terms in n, which are quite easy to calculate.

For monic Jacobi polynomials orthogonal to the weight $w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)$, it is well-known that [24] (see [11] for a derivation using the ladder operator approach)

$$h_n(0) \;=\; 2^{2n+2\alpha+1}\,\frac{[\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)]^2\Gamma(n+2\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(2n+2\alpha+1)\Gamma(2n+2\alpha+2)}. \tag{8.12}$$

Hence it follows from (1.17) that

$$D_n(0) \;=\; \frac{2^{n(n+2\alpha)}}{[G(\alpha+1)]^2}\cdot\frac{G(n+1)[G(n+\alpha+1)]^2G(n+2\alpha+1)}{G(2n+2\alpha+1)}. \tag{8.13}$$

The asymptotics of the Barnes G-function is well understood [2, p. 284]. For $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda|$ is finite, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} G(n+\lambda+1) \;=\;& (2\pi)^{(n+\lambda)/2}\,n^{(n+\lambda)^2/2-1/12}\,[G(1/2)]^{2/3}\,\pi^{\frac{1}{6}}\,2^{-\frac{1}{36}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{3n^2}{4}-n\lambda} \\ &\times\exp\left[\frac{\lambda(2\lambda^2-1)}{12n}-\frac{10\lambda^4+10\lambda^2-1}{240n^2}+\frac{\lambda(6\lambda^2-10\lambda^2+3)}{360n^3}\right. \\ &\left.-\frac{42\lambda^6-105\lambda^4+63\lambda^2-5}{5040n^4}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^5}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

Applying the above formula with $\lambda=0$, $\lambda=\alpha$ and $\lambda=2\alpha$ for the numerator of (8.13), and with $n\to 2n$ and $\lambda=2\alpha$ for the denominator; for large n, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(0) \;=\;& D_n[w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\cdot)] \\ =\;& (2\pi)^{n+\alpha}\,n^{\alpha^2-1/4}\,2^{-n(n+2\alpha)}\,\frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}[G(1/2)]^2}{2^{2\alpha^2}[G(\alpha+1)]^2} \\ &\times\exp\left[\frac{2a_3(0,\alpha,\beta)}{n}+\frac{2a_4(0,\alpha,\beta)-a_2(0,\alpha,\beta)\big(4a_2(0,\alpha,\beta)+1\big)}{3n^2}\right. \\ &\left.+\frac{a_5(0,\alpha,\beta)-a_3(0,\alpha,\beta)\big(4a_2(0,\alpha,\beta)+1\big)}{3n^3}+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{8.15}$$

In the above, the coefficients $a_2(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$—$a_5(k^2,\alpha,\beta)$ are evaluated at $k^2=0$ (they can be found in Section 6.1).

Now we proceed to calculate the large n expansion of the ratio $D_n(k^2)/D_n(0)$. Using the definition of $H_n(k^2)$, (8.7), the Hankel determinant $D_n(k^2)$ has the following integral representation:

$$\frac{D_n(k^2)}{D_n(0)} \;=\; \exp\left(\int_0^{k^2}\frac{H_n(k^2)}{k^2(k^2-1)}\,dk^2\right), \tag{8.16}$$

where $H_n(k^2)$ is related to $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and $\mathsf{p}_1(n+1)$ through equation (8.8) (Lemma 8.2).

We replace $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$ and $\mathsf{p}_1(n+1)$ in (8.8) by their large n expansion (6.30), where we set $n = n$ and $n \to n+1$ respectively. Expanding again in the large n limit, we find that $H_n(k^2)$ admits the following expansion in powers of $1/n$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(k^2) &= \beta\sqrt{1-k^2}(1-\sqrt{1-k^2})n - \beta(\alpha+\beta)(1-\sqrt{1-k^2}) + \frac{k^2\beta^2}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{k^2\beta(4\alpha^2-1)}{8n\sqrt{1-k^2}} - \frac{k^2\beta(4\alpha^2-1)}{8n^2\sqrt{1-k^2}}\left(\alpha+\beta-\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right) + \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{8.17}$$

Substituting the above expansion for $H_n(k^2)$ into equation (8.16), and integrating with respect to k^2, we find that the large n expansion of the ratio $D_n(k^2)/D_n(0)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_n(k^2)}{D_n(0)} &= \frac{\left(1+\sqrt{1-k^2}\right)^{2\beta(n+\alpha+\beta)}}{2^{2\beta(n+\alpha+\beta)}\left(1-k^2\right)^{\beta(\alpha+\beta/2)}} \exp\left[\frac{(4\alpha^2-1)\beta}{4n}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left. -\frac{(4\alpha^2-1)\beta}{8n^2}\left(2\alpha+\beta-\frac{2(\alpha+\beta)}{\sqrt{1-k^2}}+\frac{\beta}{1-k^2}\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{8.18}$$

which is exactly equation (8.2).

To find the large n expansion of $D_n(k^2)$, we multiply (8.18) by the large n expansion of $D_n(0)$, given by (8.15), which then leads to (8.3). Thus we have completed the proof to Theorem 8.1. □

Remark. At $\beta = 0$, our weight (1.8) reduces to the special case of the Jacobi weight $w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)$. We can check by substituting $\beta = 0$ into (8.3) that we obtain the correct large n expansion for $D_n[w^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\cdot)]$.

9. Painlevé VI representation for Hankel determinant

In this section we show by a change of variable how we can relate polynomials orthogonal with respect to (1.8) to a set of polynomials orthogonal with respect to the following deformed shifted-Jacobi weight

$$w_2(x,k^2,a,b,c) = x^a(1-x)^b(1-k^2x)^c, \qquad x\in[0,1],\ \ a>-1,\ \ b>-1,\ \ c\in\mathbb{R},\ \ k^2\in(0,1). \tag{9.1}$$

The above weight was first studied by Magnus [26] (referred to as a generalized Jacobi weight with three factors), and more recently by Dai and Zhang [19]. Utilising the connection between the two weight functions, we are able to derive a correspondence between the three-term recurrence coefficients of the two sets of orthogonal polynomials. More importantly, we are able to derive a representation for our Hankel determinant (1.10) in terms of a Painlevé VI (Theorem 1.8).

9.1. Relation to an alternate system of orthogonal polynomials

Since the weight (1.8) is an even function in x, it is possible to write (see [28, p. 41]) every even and odd normalization constant h_{2n} and h_{2n+1} as

$$\delta_{n,m}\, h_{2n} \;=\; \int_0^1 Q_n(x)\, Q_m(x)\, x^{-1/2}\, (1-x)^{\alpha}\, (1-k^2x)^{\beta}\, dx, \tag{9.2}$$

and

$$\delta_{n,m}\, h_{2n+1} \;=\; \int_0^1 R_n(x)\, R_m(x)\, x^{1/2}\, (1-x)^{\alpha}\, (1-k^2x)^{\beta}\, dx, \tag{9.3}$$

respectively. In the above, we can treat $Q_n(x)$ and $R_n(x)$ as monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the deformed shifted Jacobi weight (9.1).

For even normalization constants h_{2n}, we consider monic polynomials $Q_n(x)$ orthogonal with respect to (9.1), where

$$a = -1/2, \qquad b = \alpha, \qquad c = \beta.$$

We denote the normalization constant, recurrence coefficients and sub-leading term of $Q_n(x)$ by $\widehat{h}_n$, $\widehat{\alpha}_n$, $\widehat{\beta}_n$ and $\widehat{\mathsf{p}}_1(n)$ respectively.

For odd normalization constants h_{2n+1}, we consider monic polynomials $R_n(x)$ orthogonal with respect to (9.1), where

$$a = 1/2, \qquad b = \alpha, \qquad c = \beta.$$

We denote the normalization constant, recurrence coefficients and sub-leading term of $R_n(x)$ by $\overline{h}_n$, $\overline{\alpha}_n$, $\overline{\beta}_n$ and $\overline{\mathsf{p}}_1(n)$ respectively.

The following relations then hold [18]:

$$h_{2n} = \widehat{h}_n, \qquad \beta_{2n+1} + \beta_{2n} = \widehat{\alpha}_n, \qquad \beta_{2n}\beta_{2n-1} = \widehat{\beta}_n, \qquad \mathsf{p}_1(2n) = \widehat{\mathsf{p}}_1(n), \tag{9.4}$$

$$h_{2n+1} = \overline{h}_n, \qquad \beta_{2n+2} + \beta_{2n+1} = \overline{\alpha}_n, \qquad \beta_{2n+1}\beta_{2n} = \overline{\beta}_n, \qquad \mathsf{p}_1(2n+1) = \overline{\mathsf{p}}_1(n). \tag{9.5}$$

Through the above relations, we can calculate the asymptotic expansions of $\widehat{h}_n$, $\widehat{\alpha}_n$, $\widehat{\beta}_n$, $\widehat{\mathsf{p}}_1(n)$, $\overline{h}_n$, $\overline{\alpha}_n$, $\overline{\beta}_n$ and $\overline{\mathsf{p}}_1(n)$ for large n, since we have already calculated the large n expansions of β_n, $\mathsf{p}_1(n)$, $D_n[w(\cdot, k^2)]$ and $h_n = D_{n+1}/D_n$.

9.2. Proof of Theorem 1.8

In this section, we characterize the Hankel determinant $D_n[w(\cdot,k^2)]$ for two cases: one where the matrix dimension n is even, the other where it is odd.

Through the definition of the Hankel determinant D_n in terms of h_n, (1.15), we can link the Hankel determinants generated by $w(x,k^2)$ and $w_2(x,k^2,a,b,c)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2n}[w(\cdot,k^2)] = \prod_{i=0}^{2n-1} h_i &= \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{2i}\right)\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} h_{2j+1}\right), \\ &= D_n[w_2(\cdot,k^2,-1/2,\alpha,\beta)]\; D_n[w_2(\cdot,k^2,1/2,\alpha,\beta)], \end{aligned} \tag{9.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2n+1}[w(\cdot,k^2)] = \prod_{i=0}^{2n} h_i &= \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n} h_{2i}\right)\left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} h_{2j+1}\right), \\ &= D_{n+1}[w_2(\cdot,k^2,-1/2,\alpha,\beta)]\; D_n[w_2(\cdot,k^2,1/2,\alpha,\beta)]. \end{aligned} \tag{9.7}$$

We can use the ladder operator approach to characterize the Hankel determinant generated by the weight $w_2(x,k^2,a,b,c)$. We define the function $\sigma(k^2,n,a,b,c)$ through the Hankel determinant as

$$\sigma(k^2,n,a,b,c) = k^2(k^2-1)\frac{d}{dk^2}\log D_n[w_2(\cdot,k^2,a,b,c)] + d_1k^2 + d_0, \tag{9.8}$$

where

$$d_1 = -nc - \frac{1}{4}(a+c)^2, \tag{9.9}$$

$$d_0 = -\frac{n}{2}(n+a+b) + \frac{c}{4}(2n+a+b+c) - \frac{ab}{4}. \tag{9.10}$$

Based on the ladder operator approach used in [19], $\sigma(k^2,n,a,b,c)$ then satisfies the following Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ-form of Painlevé VI: [23]

$$\sigma'\Big(k^2(k^2-1)\sigma''\Big)^2 + \Big\{2\sigma'(k^2\sigma'-\sigma) - (\sigma')^2 - \nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\Big\}^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{4}(\nu_i^2+\sigma'), \tag{9.11}$$

where $'$ denotes differentiation with respect to k^2, and

$$\nu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(c-a), \qquad \nu_2 = \frac{1}{2}(c+a), \qquad \nu_3 = \frac{1}{2}(2n+a+c), \qquad \nu_4 = \frac{1}{2}(2n+a+2b+c). \tag{9.12}$$

In the above, due to the symmetry of the σ-form, the parameters ν_1–ν_4 are not unique.

Hence the logarithmic derivative of the even Hankel determinants generated by $w(x,k^2)$, (1.8),

$$H_{2n}(k^2) \;:=\; k^2(k^2-1)\frac{d}{dk^2}\log D_{2n}[w(\cdot,k^2)], \tag{9.13}$$

can be written using (9.6) and (9.8) as the following sum:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2n}(k^2) &= k^2(k^2-1)\Big[\frac{d}{dk^2}\log D_n[w_2(\cdot,k^2,-1/2,\alpha,\beta)] + \frac{d}{dk^2}\log D_n[w_2(\cdot,k^2,1/2,\alpha,\beta)]\Big], &(9.14)\\ &= \sigma(k^2,n,-1/2,\alpha,\beta)+\sigma(k^2,n,1/2,\alpha,\beta)+\Big(\frac{\beta^2}{2}+2n\beta+\frac{1}{8}\Big)k^2-\frac{\beta}{2}(2n+\alpha+\beta)+n(n+\alpha), &(9.15)\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma(k^2,n,-1/2,\alpha,\beta)$ and $\sigma(k^2,n,1/2,\alpha,\beta)$ have representations in terms of the Painlevé VI σ-form. Similarly

$$H_{2n+1}(k^2) \;:=\; k^2(k^2-1)\frac{d}{dk^2}\log D_{2n+1}[w(\cdot,k^2)], \tag{9.16}$$

can be written using (9.7) and (9.8) as (1.40), completing the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Acknowledgements

Y. Chen would like to thank the Macau Science and Technology Development Fund for generous support (FDCT 077/2012/A3). N. S. Haq is supported by an EPSRC grant.

Appendix A. The coefficients of Theorem 1.4

$$c_{0,0,0} = (k^2-1)^2 n\,(n+2\alpha)\,(n+2\beta)\,(n+2\,\alpha+2\,\beta)\,. \tag{A.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,1,0} &= \alpha^2(-3-4\beta^2+4\alpha^2)(k^2+1)(k^2-1)^2(2n+2\alpha+2\beta-3)(2n+2\alpha+2\beta+3)(n+\alpha+\beta)^2\\ &\quad -\frac{2}{9}(4\alpha^2-1)(\alpha^2-\beta^2)(k^2+1)(k^2-1)^2(2n+2\alpha+2\beta-3)(2n+2\alpha+2\beta+3)(2n+2\alpha+2\beta-1)(2n+2\alpha+2\beta+1)\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{9}(4\alpha^4-4\alpha^2\beta^2-19\alpha^2-8\beta^2+18)(k^2+1)(k^2-1)^2(2n+2\alpha+2\beta-1)(2n+2\alpha+2\beta+1)(n+\alpha+\beta)^2\\ &\quad +2(k^2+1)(n+\alpha+\beta)^2-(\alpha^2-\beta^2)(16n\alpha+16\alpha\beta+1+16n\beta+8n^2)(k^2-1)^2\\ &\quad +(4\alpha\beta+2n^2-\beta^2+5\alpha^2+4n\alpha+4n\beta)(k^2-1)(k^2+1). \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

$$c_{0,1,1} = 8k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\Big)\Big[(k^2-1)^2(\alpha+\beta+n)^3+\frac{1}{2}(k^4+1)(\alpha+\beta+n)^2-(\alpha^2+\beta^2)(k^2-1)^2(\alpha+\beta+n)+\frac{1}{2}(k^4-1)(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\Big], \tag{A.3}$$

$$c_{1,1,0} = 8k^2\Big(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\Big)\Big[(k^2-1)^2(\alpha+\beta+n)^3-\frac{1}{2}(k^4+1)(\alpha+\beta+n)^2-(k^2-1)^2(\alpha^2+\beta^2)(\alpha+\beta+n)-\frac{1}{2}(k^4-1)(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\Big], \tag{A.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,2,0} &= -8(k^4+1)(k^2-1)^2(n+\alpha+\beta)^4+24(k^2+1)^2(k^2-1)^2(n+\alpha+\beta)^4-7(k^4+1)(k^2+1)^2(n+\alpha+\beta)^2\\ &\quad +(k^4+1)(k^2-1)^2(n+\alpha+\beta)^2(8\alpha^2+8\beta^2+3)+16(k^2+1)(n+\alpha+\beta)^2(k^2-1)^2(k^4+1)(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\\ &\quad -4(k^2+1)^2(k^2-1)^2\big(4k^2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)+6(\alpha^2+\beta^2)+1\big)(n+\alpha+\beta)^2+\frac{9}{8}(k^4+1)(k^2+1)^2\\ &\quad -6(k^2-1)(k^2+1)^2(k^4+1)(\alpha^2-\beta^2)+\frac{1}{8}(k^4+1)(k^2-1)^2(8\alpha^2+8\beta^2-1)^2-2(k^2+1)(k^2-1)^2(k^4+1)(\alpha^2-\beta^2)(4\alpha^2+4\beta^2+1)\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}(k^4-1)^2\big(32k^2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)-8(\alpha^2+\beta^2)-64\alpha^2\beta^2+32k^2(\alpha^4-\beta^4)-1\big). \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

$$c_{1,1,1} = -8k^4\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left[(k^2+1)(\alpha+\beta+n)^2+(k^2-1)(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\right], \tag{A.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,3,0} = {} & -64k^2\Big[\frac{1}{8}(k^2+1)(8k^4-15k^2+8)(n+\alpha+\beta)^4+\frac{9}{32}(k^2+1)(k^4+1)+\frac{3}{64}(k^2+1)(k^2-1)^2\left(11k^2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)-8(\alpha^2+\beta^2)-2\right) \\ & -\frac{3}{64}(k^4+1)(k^2-1)(11k^2+8)(\alpha^2-\beta)^2-\frac{65}{64}(k^4+1)(k^2+1)(n+\alpha+\beta)^2 \\ & -\frac{1}{64}(k^2+1)(k^2-1)^2(n+\alpha+\beta)^2\left(36k^2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)+32(\alpha^2+\beta^2)-1\right) \\ & +\frac{17}{32}(n+\alpha+\beta)^2(k^2-1)^2(k^4+1)(\alpha^2-\beta^2)+\frac{1}{32}(k^8-1)(n+\alpha+\beta)^2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,2,0} = {} & -32k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\Big[-(k^2+1)(k^2-1)^2\left(\alpha^2+\frac{1}{4}\right)(\alpha+\beta+n)-\frac{1}{8}(k^4-1)(\alpha+\beta+n)(\alpha^2-\beta^2+2) \\ & +\frac{7}{8}(k^2-1)^2(\alpha+\beta+n)(\alpha^2-\beta^2)-\frac{1}{4}(k^2+1)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{8}(k^2+1)(k^2-1)^2(4\alpha^2-1) \\ & -\frac{1}{16}(k^4-1)(13\alpha^2-13\beta^2-6)-\frac{5}{16}(k^2-1)^2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)-\frac{1}{8}(k^2+1)(4k^4+5k^2+4)(\alpha+\beta+n)^2 \\ & +\frac{1}{4}(k^2+1)(4k^4-9k^2+4)(\alpha+\beta+n)^3\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,2,1} = {} & -32k^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\Big[\frac{1}{4}(k^2+1)(4k^4-9k^2+4)(\alpha+\beta+n)^3+\frac{1}{8}(k^2+1)(4k^4+5k^2+4)(\alpha+\beta+n)^2 \\ & +\frac{1}{8}(k^4-1)\left(k^2(4\alpha^2-1)+5\alpha^2-9\beta^2-2\right)-\frac{7}{4}(k^2-1)(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{5}{14}\right) \\ & -\frac{1}{4}(k^4-1)\left(k^2(4\alpha^2+1)-7\alpha^2+3\beta^2\right)(\alpha+\beta+n)-\frac{1}{4}(k^2+1)\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

$$c_{1,2,1} = 32k^4\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left[\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(2k^4+k^2+2\right)-\frac{k^2}{2}\right], \tag{A.10}$$

$$c_{0,2,2} = 16k^4\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)^2\left[\left(\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(2\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)k^4+\left(-3(\alpha+\beta+n)^2+\alpha^2+\beta^2+\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2+\left(\alpha+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+2\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right], \tag{A.11}$$

$$c_{2,2,0} = 16k^4\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)^2\left[\left(\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(2\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)k^4+\left(-3(\alpha+\beta+n)^2+\alpha^2+\beta^2+\frac{1}{2}\right)k^2+\left(\alpha+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+2\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right], \tag{A.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,4,0} = {} & k^4\Big[32(3k^4-2k^2+3)(n+\alpha+\beta)^4-8\left((4\alpha^2+22)k^4+(21-4\alpha^2-4\beta^2)k^2+4\beta^2+22\right)(n+\alpha+\beta)^2 \\ & +\frac{9}{2}(k^4+1)(k^2-1)(8\alpha^2+8\beta^2-1)+\frac{99}{2}(k^2+1)(k^4+1)-9(k^4-1)\left(4k^2\left(\alpha^2+\beta^2+\frac{5}{4}\right)+4(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\right)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,3,0} = {} & 32k^4\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\Big[(k^4-6k^2+1)(\alpha+\beta+n)^3-\frac{9}{8}(k^4+1)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{13}{6}\right) \\ & +\frac{1}{8}(k^2-1)(\alpha+\beta+n)(8k^2\alpha^2-8\beta^2-k^2+1)-\frac{1}{16}(k^2-1)\left(40k^2\alpha^2-40\beta^2+17(k^2-1)\right)-\frac{1}{2}(7k^4+12k^2+7)(\alpha+\beta+n)^2\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{0,3,1} = {} & 32k^4\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\Big[-\frac{9}{8}(k^2+1)\left(n+\alpha+\beta+\frac{13}{6}\right)-\frac{1}{2}(k^4-1)(n+\alpha+\beta)\left(\beta^2-\alpha^2+\frac{9}{8}\right) \\ & +\frac{1}{16}(k^2-1)^2(n+\alpha+\beta)(8\alpha^2+8\beta^2-11)+(k^4-6k^2+1)(n+\alpha+\beta)^3 \\ & +\frac{1}{16}(k^2-1)(40k^2\alpha^2-22k^2-40\beta^2-17)+\frac{1}{2}(7k^4+12k^2+7)(n+\alpha+\beta)^2\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

$$c_{1,3,1} = -128k^6\left(k^2+1\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left((\alpha+\beta+n)^2-\frac{3}{4}\right), \tag{A.16}$$

$$c_{1,2,2} = -2k^6(k^2+1)\,(2\,\alpha+2\beta+2\,n-3)^2\,(2\,\alpha+2\beta+2\,n+1)\,(2\,\alpha+2\beta+2\,n+3)\,, \tag{A.17}$$

$$c_{2,2,1} = -2k^6(k^2+1)\,(2\,\alpha+2\beta+2\,n-3)\,(2\,\alpha+2\beta+2\,n+3)^2\,(2\,\alpha+2\beta+2\,n-1)\,, \tag{A.18}$$

$$c_{0,4,1} = 32k^6(k^2+1)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left[(\alpha+\beta+n)^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{19}{2}\right)+\frac{11}{4}\,(\alpha+\beta+n)+\frac{39}{8}\right], \tag{A.19}$$

$$c_{1,4,0} = 32k^6(k^2+1)\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left[(\alpha+\beta+n)^2\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{19}{2}\right)+\frac{11}{4}\,(\alpha+\beta+n)-\frac{39}{8}\right], \tag{A.20}$$

$$c_{0,3,2} = 2k^6(k^2+1)\,(2\,\alpha+2\beta+2\,n-3)^2\,(2\,\alpha+2\beta+2\,n+1)\,(6\alpha+6\beta+6n-7)\,, \tag{A.21}$$

$$c_{2,3,0} = 2\,k^6(k^2+1)\,(2\,\alpha+2\beta+2\,n+3)^2\,(6\,\alpha+6\beta+6\,n+7)\,(2\,\alpha+2\beta+2\,n-1)\,, \tag{A.22}$$

$$c_{0,5,0} = -64k^6(k^2+1)\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left[\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right]. \tag{A.23}$$

$$c_{2,4,0} = -112k^8\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)^2\left[(\alpha+\beta+n)^2+\frac{11}{7}\,(\alpha+\beta+n)-\frac{33}{28}\right], \tag{A.24}$$

$$c_{0,4,2} = -112k^8\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)^2\left[(n+\alpha+\beta)\left(n+\alpha+\beta-\frac{11}{7}\right)-\frac{33}{28}\right], \tag{A.25}$$

$$c_{3,3,0} = -4k^8\,(2\alpha+2n-1+2\beta)\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n+3)^3\,, \tag{A.26}$$

$$c_{0,3,3} = -4\,k^8\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n-3)^3\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n+1)\,, \tag{A.27}$$

$$c_{1,4,1} = 320k^8\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)\left((\alpha+\beta+n)^2-\frac{13}{20}\right), \tag{A.28}$$

$$c_{1,3,2} = 2\,k^8\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n-3)^2\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n+3)^2\,, \tag{A.29}$$

$$c_{2,3,1} = 2\,k^8\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n-3)^2\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n+3)^2\,, \tag{A.30}$$

$$c_{2,2,2} = k^8\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n-3)^2\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n+3)^2\,, \tag{A.31}$$

$$c_{1,5,0} = -32k^8\left(\alpha+\beta+n+\frac{3}{2}\right)^2\left[(n+\alpha+\beta)\,(n+\alpha+\beta+5)-\frac{15}{4}\right], \tag{A.32}$$

$$c_{0,5,1} = -32k^8\left(\alpha+\beta+n-\frac{3}{2}\right)^2\left[(n+\alpha+\beta)\,(n+\alpha+\beta-5)-\frac{15}{4}\right], \tag{A.33}$$

$$c_{0,6,0} = k^8\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n-3)^2\,(2\alpha+2\beta+2n+3)^2\,. \tag{A.34}$$

Bibliography

[1] M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables, volume 55 of *National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series*, For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.

[2] E.W. Barnes, The theory of the *G*-function, Quart. J. Math. 31 (1899) 264–314.

[3] E.L. Basor, Y. Chen, Perturbed Hankel Determinants, J. Phys. A 38 (2005) 10101–10106.

[4] E.L. Basor, Y. Chen, Painlevé V and the distribution function of a discontinuous linear statistic in the Laguerre unitary ensembles, J. Phys. A 42 (2009) 035203, 18.

[5] E.L. Basor, Y. Chen, T. Ehrhardt, Painlevé V and time-dependent Jacobi polynomials, J. Phys. A 43 (2010) 015204, 25.

[6] E.L. Basor, Y. Chen, N. Mekareeya, The Hilbert series of $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SO(N_c)$ and $Sp(N_c)$ SQCD, Painlevé VI and integrable systems, Nuclear Phys. B 860 (2012) 421–463.

[7] W.C. Bauldry, Estimates of asymmetric Freud polynomials on the real line, J. Approx. Theory 63 (1990) 225–237.

[8] S.S. Bonan, D.S. Clark, Estimates of the Hermite and the Freud polynomials, J. Approx. Theory 63 (1990) 210–224.

[9] Y. Chen, M.E.H. Ismail, Ladder operators and differential equations for orthogonal polynomials, J. Phys. A 30 (1997) 7817–7829.

[10] Y. Chen, M.E.H. Ismail, Thermodynamic relations of the Hermitian matrix ensembles, J. Phys. A 30 (1997) 6633–6654.

[11] Y. Chen, M.E.H. Ismail, Jacobi polynomials from compatibility conditions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005) 465–472 (electronic).

[12] Y. Chen, M.E.H. Ismail, W. Van Assche, Tau-Function Constructions of the Recurrence Coefficients of Orthogonal Polynomials, Adv. in Appl. Math. 20 (1998) 141–168.

[13] Y. Chen, A.R. Its, Painlevé III and a singular linear statistics in Hermitian random matrix ensembles. I, J. Approx. Theory 162 (2010) 270–297.

[14] Y. Chen, N. Jokela, M. Jrvinen, N. Mekareeya, Moduli space of supersymmetric qcd in the veneziano limit, Journal of High Energy Physics 2013 (2013) 1–38.

[15] Y. Chen, N.D. Lawrence, On the linear statistics of Hermitian random matrices, J. Phys. A 31 (1998) 1141–1152.

[16] Y. Chen, M.R. McKay, Coulumb fluid, Painlevé transcendents, and the information theory of MIMO systems, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 58 (2012) 4594–4634.

[17] Y. Chen, L. Zhang, Painlevé VI and the unitary Jacobi ensembles, Stud. Appl. Math. 125 (2010) 91–112.

[18] T.S. Chihara, An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1978. Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 13.

[19] D. Dai, L. Zhang, Painlevé VI and Hankel determinants for the generalized Jacobi weight, J. Phys. A 43 (2010) 055207, 14.

[20] P.A. Deift, A.R. Its, I. Krasovsky, Asymptotics of Toeplitz, Hankel, and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities, Ann. of Math. (2) 174 (2011) 1243–1299.

[21] P.J. Forrester, C.M. Ormerod, Differential equations for deformed Laguerre polynomials, J. Approx. Theory 162 (2010) 653–677.

[22] E. Heine, Handbuch der Kugelfunktionen: Theorie und anwendungen, vols. I and II, G. Reimer, 1878.

[23] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. II, Phys. D 2 (1981) 407–448.

[24] R. Koekoek, P.A. Lesky, R.F. Swarttouw, Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q-analogues, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. With a foreword by Tom H. Koornwinder.

[25] A.B.J. Kuijlaars, K.T.R. McLaughlin, W. Van Assche, M. Vanlessen, The Riemann-Hilbert approach to strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials on $[-1, 1]$, Adv. Math. 188 (2004) 337–398.

[26] A.P. Magnus, Painlevé-type differential equations for the recurrence coefficients of semi-classical orthogonal polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 57 (1995) 215–237.

[27] H.N. Mhaskar, Bounds for certain Freud-type orthogonal polynomials, J. Approx. Theory 63 (1990) 238–254.

[28] A.F. Nikiforov, V.B. Uvarov, Special functions of mathematical physics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1988. A unified introduction with applications, Translated from the Russian and with a preface by Ralph P. Boas, With a foreword by A. A. Samarskiĭ.

[29] C.J. Rees, Elliptic Orthogonal Polynomials, Duke Math. J. 12 (1945) 173–187.

[30] J. Shohat, A differential equation for orthogonal polynomials, Duke Math. J. 5 (1939) 401–417.

[31] G. Szegö, Orthogonal Polynomials, American Mathematical Society, New York, 1939. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, v. 23.

[32] C.A. Tracy, H. Widom, Fredholm Determinants, Differential Equations and Matrix Models, Comm. Math. Phys. 163 (1994) 33–72.

[33] S. Xu, Y. Zhao, Critical edge behavior in the modified Jacobi ensemble and Painlevé equations, ArXiv e-prints: 1404.5105 (2014).

[34] Z. Zeng, S. Xu, Y. Zhao, Painlevé III asymptotics of Hankel determinants for a perturbed Jacobi weight, ArXiv e-prints: 1412.8586 (2014).